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PREFACE

Over the last 15 years initiatives to provide financial services to poor people (the ‘microfinance industry’) have come on by leaps and bounds in terms of size and reputation. Despite this, the industry is still only in its adolescence and our understanding of why and how poor and very poor people use microfinancial services (and why many choose not to use the services that are available) remains partial at best. This essay takes the reader on a ‘voyage of discovery’ that seeks to both deepen her/his understanding and encourage her/him to apply that knowledge to the practice of microfinance.

The voyage that Stuart Rutherford offers is a unique one based upon years of careful and detailed personal research. It does not take a deductive approach that develops a theoretical model of the financial behaviour of poor people. Nor does it follow the ‘case study plus best practice’ approach that has been favoured by many practitioners when they write of microfinance. Instead, it adopts an inductive approach - based on thousands of conversations and meetings with poor people discussing what financial services they use and need - backed up by the personal experience of running an experimental microfinance institution (SafeSave).

This is an innovative methodology and one that courts considerable risks, not least that it might produce many interesting insights but no clear analysis. However, by tempering reflection with action research these risks are avoided and the essay provides a wealth of thought provoking empirical observations woven into an insightful analysis of how microfinance institutions (MFIs) can operate more effectively and how they might develop products that more adequately meet the needs of the market niche that they seek to fill. These products must meet the many differing needs of poor people - for savings, insurance, productive investment, and housing, education, health and consumption loans - and should be designed so that they are convenient, secure, flexible and low cost. Such services can help poor and very poor people cope with the vulnerability of their livelihoods and support their personal efforts to achieve the economic and social goals that they set for themselves.

The argument for providing microfinancial services in this essay is not the conventional microcredit argument - that small loans to poor microentrepreneurs puts them on a conveyor belt that takes them over the ‘poverty line’. Rather, it is altogether more subtle and more grounded in the reality of being poor - high quality microfinancial services can help the poor to help themselves to overcome their problems and to seize the opportunities that they identify. While the author may be correct in saying that this essay is not an ‘academic paper’ in a conventional sense, it is the work of a scholar-practitioner (or practitioner-scholar) who knows the academic debates very well, who has a detailed knowledge of contemporary MFIs, who knows about the wealth of informal microfinancial services that the poor use and who runs an MFI on a day-to-day basis. It challenges the assumptions that underpin much of the academic analysis of microfinance within the field of development studies and moves on to provide guidance (but not blueprints) about how to improve practice.

Those who know Stuart’s ideas already will be pleased to see them brought together in this working paper. Those who are not yet acquainted with his ideas are in for a real treat - sit down in comfort, take the phone off the hook, and read on.

David Hulme
Manchester
February 1999
The Poor and their Money
An essay about financial services for poor people

Introduction
This essay is about how poor people in developing countries manage their money. It describes how they handle their savings, from keeping bank notes under the floorboards to running sophisticated savings and loan clubs. It illustrates the variety of moneylenders and deposit collectors who serve the poor, including the new breed of ‘microfinance institutions’ (MFIs) - semi-formal or formal banks that specialise in working with poor clients. The essay illustrates the principles that underlie these phenomena, and by doing this I hope it will stimulate those who would like to improve the quality of financial services that are offered to the poor. In short, the essay is about how a better understanding of financial services for the poor can lead to better provision of such services.

The audience I have in mind is composed mainly of those who provide or promote financial services for the poor, and their backers. I am thinking of men and women who work for MFIs and non-government organisations (NGOs), aid donors, and banks and co-operatives who want to reach the poor. I hope, too, that some members of the general public will find the essay interesting and readable.

The essay therefore aims at clarity. I try to avoid jargon. Academic machinery such as footnotes and references is used as sparingly as possible, though there is a bibliography which has been annotated to help practitioners. Most of the cases that I use to illustrate my points are ones that I have personally investigated during more than twenty years of research and practice in the subject on three continents (though there is a strong bias towards Asia, where I have lived and worked for fourteen years).

‘The Poor and Their Money’ is not an academic paper. I hope some academics will read the essay, because they too influence the growing ‘microfinance industry’, but they should not expect it to conform to academic standards of presentation and argument. Many of the statements I make are grounded on my long-standing interest and experience in the field, above all on my conversations with poor people about how they actually use financial services. I have not made any assumptions that are not based on this kind of experience. But in the interest of brevity and readability I have not quoted chapter-and-verse in support of all my arguments, as would be required in a formal academic paper. I invite academics to get in touch with me (on Error! Reference source not found.) if they would like more references, or if they would like to challenge or amplify what I have written. Nor is the essay intended as a ‘manual’. I do not provide step-by-step guidance in how to set up an MFI. Although I describe my own work – the MFI called SafeSave that features at the end of chapter one – I don't for one minute think that SafeSave is the last word in financial services for the poor. SafeSave is included in the essay to illustrate some important issues, and not as a recommended ‘recipe’. Indeed, by the time you read this, SafeSave should have moved on to new and – we hope - better products. SafeSave happens to be my ‘action research’ project, and I would encourage others to set up research vehicles of their own.

The ‘microfinance industry’ is in its adolescence. There have been encouraging breakthroughs in the last two or three decades – as Chapter Three shows. But the potential for growth and improvement is
huge. There are still millions of poor people to reach, and hundreds of new ways of reaching them waiting to be discovered and developed. I hope this essay will accelerate this voyage of discovery.
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Abstract
Poor people can save and want to save, and when they do not save it is because of lack of opportunity rather than lack of capacity. During their lives there are many occasions when they need sums of cash greater than they have to hand, and the only reliable way of getting hold of such sums is by finding some way to build them from their savings. They need these lump sums to meet life-cycle needs, to cope with emergencies, and to grasp opportunities to acquire assets or develop businesses. The job of financial services for the poor, then, is to provide them with mechanisms to turn savings into lump sums for a wide variety of uses (and not just to run microenterprises). Good financial services for the poor are those that do this job in the safest, most convenient, most flexible and most affordable way.
The poor seek to turn their savings into lump sums by finding reliable deposit takers, by seeking advances against future savings (loans), or by setting up devices like savings clubs and ROSCAs. A study of these traditional methods reveals the importance of the frequency and regularity of deposits, of the time-scale over which the deposit/lump-sum swap is made, and of the relative merits of systems that offer just one kind of swap as against those that offer multiple swap types. It also shows how interest rates have been used to manage the risks faced by savings club members. Some, but not all, of these lessons have been learned by the two new sets of players that have emerged recently to form the new 'microfinance industry'. There are 'promoters' - organisations that seek to help the poor set up financial services devices owned by themselves or their communities - and 'providers' - new financial intermediaries which sell financial products to the poor. Providers, it is found, are better able to reach large numbers of poor people with innovative products that build on the experience of the informal sector. To develop good financial services for the poor we need products that suit the poor's capacity to save and their needs for lump sums, and product delivery systems that are convenient for the poor. The essay ends by discussing how the process of establishing such products and institutions can be accelerated.
The essay is not an academic paper. It is aimed at microfinance practitioners and their backers, and is intended to stimulate them to invent and test financial products for the poor and to develop suitable institutions to deliver the products.
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Chapter One: The Poor and Their Money

Three facts and a conclusion. Fact one: poor people can and do save, even if the amounts are often small and irregular. Fact two: poor people need usefully large lump sums of money from time to time, for many different purposes. Fact three: for most poor people, those ‘usefully large lump sums’ have to be built, somehow or other, out of their savings - because there is no other reliable way to get hold of them. Conclusion: financial services for poor people are largely a matter of mechanisms that allow them to convert a series of savings into usefully large lump sums.
A popular and useful definition of a poor person is someone who doesn't have much money. Among academics, and in the aid industry, this definition has gone out of fashion. But it suits our present purposes well, so we shall stick to it. In this essay, when I talk about 'the poor', I mean people who, compared to their fellow citizens, don't have much money. If you don't have much money it is especially important that you manage well what money you have. Poor people are at a disadvantage here, because the banks and insurance companies and other financial institutions that serve the better-off rarely cater to the poor. Nevertheless, poor people do seek and find a variety of ways of better managing their money, as examples in this essay will show. The essay argues that we can learn a lot from the more successful money-managing efforts of the poor, and use that learning to design new and better ways of bringing banking services to the slums and villages of the developing world.

Choosing to save...
Managing money well begins with hanging on to what you have. This means avoiding unnecessary expenditure and then finding a safe place to store whatever money is left over. Making that choice - the choice to save rather than to consume - is the foundation of money management.

...but finding it hard to do so
Poor people run into problems with money management at this very first hurdle. If you live in an urban slum or in straw hut in a village, finding a safe place to store savings is not easy. Bank notes tucked into rafters, buried in the earth, rolled inside hollowed-out bamboo, or thrust into clay piggy banks, can be lost or stolen or blown away or may just rot. Certainly their value will decline, because of inflation. But the physical risks are the least of the problem. Much tougher is keeping the cash safe from the many claims on it - claims by relatives who have fallen on hard times, by importunate neighbours, by hungry or sick children or alcoholic husbands, and by landlords, creditors and beggars. Finally, even when you do have a little cash left over at the day's end, if you don't have somewhere safe to put it you'll most probably spend it in some trivial way or other. I have lost count of the number of women who have told me how hard it is to save at home, and how much they would value a safe, simple way to save.

Nevertheless, the poor can save, do save, and want to save money. Only those so poor that they have left the cash economy altogether - the elderly disabled, for example, who live by begging food from neighbours - cannot save money. This essay is not about them.

But can the poor really save?
The fact that the poor want to save and have some capacity to save is not self-evident. If you don't know much about how the poor actually organise their lives you may assume that the poor 'are too poor to save'. The poor spend all their income and still don't get enough to eat, so how can they save? The poor may need loans, but the last thing they need, you may think, is a savings service.

Ins and outs
By the time you have finished this essay you should see that this is a misconception. But for the time being, notice that people (and not just the poor) may save money as it goes out (keeping a few coins back from the housekeeping money) as well as when it comes in (deducting savings at source from your wage or other income). Even the poorest have to spend money to buy basic items like food and clothing, and each time they do so there is the opportunity to save something, however tiny. Many poor housewives try to save in this way, even if their working husbands fail to save anything from their income.

That the poor do succeed in saving something is shown by their habit of lending each other small amounts of money (as well as small amounts of rice or kerosene or salt). In this 'reciprocal lending' I lend you a few cents today on the understanding that you'll do the same for me at some other time. The practice is so common that such loans make up the bulk of financial transactions that poor people get involved in, even if the amounts involved add up to only a small proportion of the total value in circulation in financial services for the poor. The practice depends entirely on the poor's capacity and willingness to save.
This essay is about saving money. People save in other ways, of course, and we shall take that into account, briefly, at the end of this chapter. But for the time being I want to pursue my basic message in the simplest way, and that means concentrating on money savings. The poor, we have claimed, can and do save. But why do they do so?

2 The poor as big spenders

The poor need, surprisingly often, to spend large sums of money

You may not yet be fully convinced that the poor can and do (and want to) save. So we shall move on to the spending needs of the poor, which are less controversial.

The need to spend

Just because you're poor doesn't mean that all your expenditure will be in small sums. Much of it may be - you may buy only a little food or clothing at a time. But from time to time you need to spend large sums. We can list these times in three main categories, 'life-cycle' events, emergency needs, and investment opportunities.

Life-cycle needs

In Bangladesh and India, the dowry system makes marrying daughters an expensive business. In parts of Africa, burying deceased parents can be very costly. These are just two examples of 'life-cycle' events for which the poor need to amass large lump sums. Other such events include childbirth, education, home-building, widowhood and old-age generally, and the desire to bequeath a lump sum to heirs. Then there are the recurrent festivals like Eid, Christmas, or Diwali. In each case the poor need to be able to get their hands on sums of money which are much bigger than the amounts of cash which are normally found in the household. Many of these needs can be anticipated, even if their exact date is unknown. The awareness that such outlays are looming on the horizon is a source of great anxiety for many poor people.

Emergencies

Emergencies that create a sudden and unanticipated need for a large sum of money come in two forms - personal and impersonal. Personal emergencies include sickness or injury, the death of a breadwinner or the loss of employment, and theft or harassment. Impersonal ones include events such as war, floods, fires and cyclones, and - for slum dwellers - the bulldozing of their homes by the authorities. Again, you will be able to think of other examples. Each creates a sudden need for more cash than can normally be found at home. Finding a way to insure themselves against such troubles would help millions of poor people.

Opportunities

As well as needs for spending large sums of cash, there are opportunities to do so. There may be opportunities to invest in an existing or new business, or to buy land or other productive assets. The lives of some poor people can be transformed if they can afford to pay a bribe to get a permanent job (often in government service). The poor, like all of us, also like to invest in costly items that make life more comfortable - better roofing, better furniture, a fan, a TV. One of these investment opportunities - setting up a new business or expanding an existing one - has recently attracted a lot of attention from the aid industry and from the new generation of banks that work with the poor. But business investment is in fact just one of many needs and opportunities that require the poor to become occasional 'big spenders'.

3 Financial services for poor people

Defining financial services for poor people

In this essay we shall be concentrating on how the poor obtain the large lump sums they need from time to time. We shall be reviewing the financial services - formal and informal - that have evolved to serve this need. These are the services that are most urgent and frequent for the vast majority of poor people, for the reasons set out in the previous section. They are the ones discussed in this essay. Of course, there are other services that poor people use that are 'financial' in the wider sense, such as those that ease the transmission or conversion of currency. Examples are sending money home
from town or abroad. Apart from this brief mention, these services (important though they are to
many poor people) are not dealt with in this essay.
So, to return to our main question: how are the poor to get hold of the usefully large lump sums they
so often need? They might be lucky and have cash gifted to them, or be in some other way the
beneficiary of charity - but this can hardly be relied on. It is not a sustainable way of getting access
to large sums.
There are only three common ways of raising large sums:
The first is by selling assets they already hold (or expect to hold).
The second is by taking a loan by mortgaging (or 'pausing') those assets.
The third is by finding a way of turning their many small savings into large lump sums.
Let us review them.

Stocks and flows
The first method listed above - the sale of assets - is usually a straightforward matter that doesn't
ordinarily require any 'financial services'. However, poor people sometimes sell, in advance, assets
that they don't hold now but expect to hold in the future. The most common example is the
advance sale of crops. These 'advances' are a form of financing, since the buyer provides, in effect,
a loan secured against the yet-to-be harvested crop. The advance may be spent on financing the
farming costs required to provide that crop. But they may just as likely be used on any of the other
needs and opportunities we reviewed in the previous section, or simply on surviving until harvest time.
The second method - mortgage and pawn - enables poor people to convert assets into cash and
back again. It is the chance (not always realised) to regain the asset that distinguishes this second
method from the first. As in the straightforward sale of assets, such services require the user to have a
stock of wealth in the form of an asset of some sort. They allow the user to exploit their ownership of
this stock of wealth by transforming it temporarily into cash. The most common examples are the
pawn shop in town and mortgaging land in the countryside.
These first two methods require that the users have assets, and poor people, almost by definition,
have very few assets. This fact severely limits the effectiveness of these two methods. It makes them
neither reliable nor sustainable. Only the third method is free of this limitation.
The third method enables poor people to convert their small savings into lump sums. This requires
the users to have a flow of savings, however small or irregular. It allows them to exploit their capacity
to make savings by offering a variety of mechanisms by which these savings can be transformed
into lump sums. The main mechanisms are
savings deposit, which allow a lump sum to be enjoyed in future in exchange for a series of
savings made now
loans, which allow a lump sum to be enjoyed now in exchange for a series of savings to be
made in the future (in the form of repayment instalments), and
insurance, which allows a lump sum to be enjoyed at some unspecified future time in
exchange for a series of savings made both now and in the future

Basic personal financial intermediation
The set of mechanisms associated with this third method needs a name that is less clumsy than
'services which enable poor people to convert their small savings into usefully large lump sums'. I
suggest the term 'basic personal financial intermediation'. I admit this is still a mouthful, but it does
describe the process at work here.
The process is one of 'financial intermediation' in the sense that a regular banker would recognise,1
because many small savings are 'intermediated' ('carried across') into lump sums. But the process is
'personal' because we are talking about how one poor person can turn her savings into a lump sum
for her own use (whereas bankers normally talk about intermediating the savings of many into loans
for a few - who may be entirely different people). Finally I call the process 'basic' because it is a
basic requirement of everyday life for most poor people.

---
1 The Economist defines a financial intermediary as 'any individual or institution that mediates between savers
(that is sources of funds) and borrowers (that is users of funds).’ Pocket Finance, Economist Books, London,
1994, page 94.
Financial services for poor people are there to help them get hold of usefully large sums of cash when they need the cash or have an opportunity to invest it.

Assets (stocks) can be sold to raise cash, but this method is limited by the fact that the poor hold few assets. Mortgaging or pawning assets – exchanging them temporarily for cash – is an important financial service for the poor, but once again it is limited by the poor’s lack of assets.

The only reliable and sustainable way of raising lump sums of cash is to find a way of building them from your capacity to save small amounts from time to time. I have called this method ‘basic personal financial intermediation’.

**Basic personal financial intermediation**, or finding a way to convert a flow of savings into a lump sum, may involve:

- a savings service that allows you to accumulate savings first and take the resulting lump sum later
- a loan service that allows you take the lump sum first as an advance against future savings
- an insurance service that allows you to take a lump sum at the time it is needed in exchange for a continuous stream of savings
- or some combination of all three

**Illustrations**

Of all the propositions that I have put forward so far, the ones that people usually find most strange are:

- the idea that most poor people want to save, can save, and do save
- the idea that loans are often nothing more than one way of turning savings into lump sums

The remainder of this first chapter is devoted to a small number of examples of ‘basic personal financial intermediation’ that, I hope, make these ideas feel less odd. Each example is a real one that I have personally investigated by observing and talking to the people involved. Each example, except the last, is typical of phenomena that are widespread among the poor all over the developing world - though of course the detail will vary from place to place.

**4 Deposit collectors**

The need to find a safe place to keep savings is so strong that some poor people willingly pay others to take their savings out of their hands and store them.

We begin in India, in the slums of the south-eastern town of Vijayawada. There I found Jyothi doing her rounds. Jyothi is a middle-aged semi-educated woman who makes her living as a peripatetic (wandering) deposit collector. Her clients are slum dwellers, mostly women. Jyothi has, over the years, built a good reputation as a safe pair of hands which can be trusted to take care of the savings of her clients.

This is how she works. She gives each of her clients a simple card, divided into 220 boxes (eleven rows and twenty columns), as shown here. Clients commit themselves to saving a certain amount per box, in a certain period. For example, one client may agree to save five rupees, at the rate of one box a day. This means that at the end of 220 days (since there are 220 boxes) she will have deposited 220 times 5 rupees, or 1,100 rupees (that’s about $25 US). Having made this agreement, it is now Jyothi’s duty to visit this client each day to collect the five rupees. In the card reproduced here the client has got as far as saving 47 times, for a total of 235 rupees to date. When the contract is fulfilled - when the client has saved 5 rupees 220 times (which may actually take more or less than 220 days, because slum dwelling women are human beings and not slot
machines), the client takes her savings back. However, she doesn't get it all back, since Jyothi needs to be paid for the service she provides. These 'fees' vary, but in Jyothi's case it is 20 out of the 220 boxes - or 100 rupees out of the 1,100 rupees saved up by the client in our example. We can calculate Jyothi's fee as a percentage of the cash she handles, in which case her fee, at 100 in 1,100 rupees, can be said to be 9%. Or we can look at it in another way and work out the interest that her savers are earning on their savings. Obviously, since they get back less than they put in, they are earning a negative interest rate, but what is that rate? Well, in our example the client has saved 1,100 rupees over 220 days. That means that on average over the 220 day period she had half that amount, or 550 rupees, on deposit with Jyothi. On that 550 rupees she has paid 100 rupees, or 18%. That was over a 220-day period, but interest percentages are best calculated at an annual rate, so that it is easy to compare one rate with another. 18% over 220 days is the same as 30% over 365 days. So the 'APR' - the annual percentage rate - is about 30%. In other words, the client is 'earning' interest at minus 30% APR.

Why should savers be prepared to accept a negative interest rate on savings? We can give two sorts of answers, which complement each other. One sort of answer comes from economists. They would say 'these rates are so abnormal that there is obviously an imperfect market here'. They mean that the demand for savings services is not being freely matched by the supply of savings services. That is exactly correct in the Vijayawada slums. Apart from people like Jyothi, slum dwellers have very few other places to put their savings. Banks are too remote, physically and socially, and don't like to accept tiny deposits like 5 rupees a day. It is extremely hard to save at home, as we noted in the first section. Competitors for Jyothi are few, perhaps because it takes a long time and a special sort of person to build up the reputation for safety that Jyothi has.

The second sort of answer comes from the users of this system, and sheds light on the nature of their 'demand' for savings services. The first client I talked to was doing it to be able to buy school fees and clothing for her two school-age children. She knew she had to have about 800 rupees in early July, or she would miss out on getting her children into school. Her husband, a day labourer, could not be relied on to come up with so much money at one time, and in any case he felt that looking after the children's education was her duty, not his. She knew she'd not be able to save so large an amount at home - with so many other more immediate demands on the scarce cash she wouldn't be able to maintain the discipline. I asked her if she understood that she was paying 30% a year for the privilege of saving with Jyothi. She did, and still thought it a bargain. Without Jyothi, she wouldn't be able to school the children. Other users told similar stories, and slum dwellers in a neighbouring slum where there is no Jyothi at work actually envied Jyothi's clients.

How does our concept of 'basic personal financial intermediation' help us to understand what is going on here? Jyothi's clients commit themselves to a series of equal and (more or less) regular but tiny savings which Jyothi's holds for them until they are transformed (intermediated) into a usefully large lump sum (large enough to pay the school costs, for example). We can represent this diagrammatically:

We can then improve our diagram. We can label the axes - time is on the horizontal axis, and the amount (or value) of money is shown on the vertical axis. We can show Jyothi's fee with a different shading, as a deduction from the lump sum. We can show the savings and the fees below the horizontal axis, as negative values, since the client pays these in to Jyothi, and the lump sum above the axis, as a
positive value, since the client gets this out of the system. Our diagram will now look like this, and a similar convention will be used in all subsequent diagrams:

Note one more thing about services like Jyothi's: that clients often start a second cycle as soon as the first cycle is finished. That is why I have drawn a broken vertical line after the pay-out, to indicate the end of one cycle and the beginning of the next. Some of you will be thinking 'this is an extraordinarily elaborate way of describing something as simple as saving up money and then withdrawing it'. I can only ask you to remain patient, because I hope that getting the diagram right now will help us explain, later, other less simple phenomena in the world of financial services for poor people.
The market for savings deposit in slums is ‘imperfect’ (demand is not matched by supply). Slum dwellers want to turn their savings into lump sums for many different needs and opportunities. Unable to save at home, and unable to go to remote unfriendly banks, they trust their savings to unlicensed informal peripatetic collectors. When they find one that they can trust, time and time again, they are willing to pay a high price (as much as 30% a year) to have that collector take away their savings and store them safely until needed. The service that these deposit collectors render represents the most simple version of ‘basic personal financial intermediation’ for poor people.

We look next at one of Jyothi’s competitor — the urban moneylender.

5 The Urban Moneylender

In an environment where the demand for savings services far outstrips supply, it is not surprising that many loans to poor people turn out to be just another way of turning savings into lump sums.

There are many kinds of moneylender. Among them there is one kind that is common in many urban slums of the sort where deposit collectors like Jyothi work. Indeed, I have taken my example from Vijayawada again because I want to draw a comparison with Jyothi. I got to know this moneylender’s clients in a slum not far from the one where Jyothi works. His working method is simple. He gives loans to poor people without any security (or ‘collateral’), and then takes back his money in regular instalments over the next few weeks or months. He charges for this service by deducting a percentage (in his case 15%) of the value of the loan at the time he disburses it. One of his clients reported the deal to me as follows.

‘I run a very small shop’ (it’s a small timber box on stilts on the sidewalk inside which he squats and sells a few basic household goods) ‘and I need the moneylender to help me maintain my stock of goods. I borrow 1,000 rupees from him which he deducts 150 as interest. He then visits me weekly and I repay the 1,000 rupees over ten weeks, at 100 rupees a week. As soon as I have paid him off he normally lets me have another loan.’

This client — Ramalu — showed me the scruffy bit of card which the moneylender had given him and on which his weekly repayments are recorded. It was quite like the cards Jyothi hands out. There are many other similarities between Jyothi and the moneylender. We can see that if we redraw our diagram to show this moneylender’s system: The main difference — the fact that the pay-out comes first, as a loan, is immediately apparent. But let us look at the similarities. In each case the client is using the service to swap a series of small regular pay-ins (or savings) for a usefully big pay-out. In other words, these are both forms of basic personal financial intermediation. With the urban moneylender, the pay-out comes first, and can be understood as an advance against future savings. Indeed, very many loans to poor people are actually advances against savings, as we shall see.

Another similarity is that clients often proceed straight into a second cycle — and then a third and so on. When you have done several cycles it can hardly make much difference whether in the first cycle the loan or the savings came first — you may not even be able to remember. You have got into a rhythm. Every day (or week or month or whatever) you make a small pay-in and every now and
then (every 220 days or every ten weeks or whatever) you get a usefully big pay-out. Just what you need if you’re poor, as we saw earlier in the chapter. This is the essence of basic personal financial intermediation.

As in all cases of basic personal financial intermediation, the size of the pay-out is directly linked to the size of the pay-ins. In the case of Jyothi, the client makes the decision, by choosing the size of the pay-in. In the case of the moneylender, the moneylender makes the decision, by choosing the size of the loan (or at least its maximum size). To do this, he has to judge the client’s capacity to save, and in this he is often helped by a history of previous similar deals with the same client or with people in similar situations.

This brings us to another important difference, the difference in price of the two services. The moneylender is more expensive. Calculating his rates in the same way we calculated Jyothi’s, we can see that the moneylender charges 15% of the cash he handles (as opposed to Jyothi’s 9%), and charges an APR of around 180% (as opposed to Jyothi’s 30%).

The client pays the moneylender more but of course the client gets more for his (or her) money. For one thing, the moneylender accepts the risk of the client’s being unable or unwilling to make the pay-ins, a risk which Jyothi doesn’t face (indeed, her clients have to accept the risk that she’ll run off with their money). Secondly, the moneylender puts up the initial finance for the first cycle, whereas Jyothi needs no capital to run her business. Thirdly, the moneylender has to use his judgement about the size of each contract, while Jyothi can happily leave that to her clients. For all these reasons clients pay the moneylender more than Jyothi for an essentially similar basic personal financial intermediation service. We can now see why the women in the slum next to Jyothi’s envied Jyothi’s clients their access to a safe and relatively cheap way to build a lump sum from their savings.

6 The Merry-go-round

But both sets of clients - Jyothi’s and the moneylender’s - could run the same sort of service for themselves, for free. To see how, we need to look at ROtating Savings and Credit Associations, or ROSCA.s. Since there are many kinds of ROSCA we’ll look at a very simple one in this chapter, the ‘merry-go-round’ as it is practised in the slums of Nairobi, Kenya.

Mary, a woman whose ROSCA I studied there, is, like Ramalu, a very small vendor. She sells vegetables from a shelf set in the window of her hut. She is a member of a merry-go-round that has fifteen members, including herself. This is what they do.

Every day, day-in day-out, each of them saves 100 shillings. So each day a total of 1,500 shillings (about $40) is saved. Each day one of the fifteen women takes the full 1,500 shillings. After each of the fifteen women has taken the ‘prize’ in turn - which takes fifteen days of course - the cycle starts again. Mary was ‘serial number 7’ in the cycle. So seven days after the start of the first cycle, and then every fifteen days, she gets 1,500 shillings in return for putting in 100 shillings each and every day. Mary told me she had been in this merry-go-round with the same fellow-members for two and half years.

Here is Mary’s merry-go-round pictured in our usual diagram, where the ‘basic personal financial intermediation’ function and its relationship to Jyothi and the moneylender is, I hope, clear.

The ‘do-it-yourself’ nature of this device gives it its particular advantage over the other examples. There are no fees or interest payments. You get back exactly what you put in. Of course, there are other, non-monetary costs. Mary and her friends have to organise it and maintain trust and agree among themselves.

\[ \text{that he paid 150 rupees interest, 35% by 10 and multiply by 52 to} \]

\[ \text{xiv} \]
themselves the number of members and the size and frequency of the pay-ins, tasks that are not needed if you use a commercial provider like Jyothi or the moneylender.

Mary takes her merry-go-round very seriously. The total value of the stock of her ‘shop’ is only a little over 1,500 shillings. So when she has to dig into her working capital to pay for extra costs for her two children (Mary has no husband) she can do so safe in the knowledge that provided she is faithful to her merry-go-round she’ll get a 1,500 shilling lump sum within the next fortnight, and can then re-capitalise her shop. She once tried joining an ‘NGO’ that offered a bigger loan, but she found that its repayment schedule was too long to suit her needs, so she left. Instead, she joined another, longer-period ROSCA which she uses to build up her savings over a longer term, for use in schooling her boys.

Many ROSCA members in Nairobi join more than one ROSCA. This helps them get round a disadvantage of ROSCAs - an inflexibility in which everyone has to save the same amount in the same period, whereas individual households may have actual needs that vary in quantity and date.

7 Rabeya’s ‘Fund’

Is it possible to devise a type of ‘basic personal financial intermediation’ device that includes most of the advantages and eliminates most of the disadvantages of deposit collectors, moneylenders and ROSCAs? The last two examples in this chapter show two attempts to do exactly that.

We start with what the slum-dwellers of Dhaka, in Bangladesh, call a ‘Fund’. This is a type of savings club that can be found all over the world. In many places, including Dhaka, it is the main alternative to the ROSCA among user-owned devices for basic personal financial intermediation. It differs from a ROSCA in that the savings that its members deposit accumulate in a ‘fund’ from which members may borrow – but only if they wish to. Here is our diagram for a Fund:

The diagram is beginning to get more complicated, and this reflects one of the disadvantages of Funds - they require more deliberate and careful management to make them work well. This is how they work, based on what happened to a Fund that I tracked for some months in Dhaka in 1996: In that Fund there were 23 members, and all of them had committed themselves to save on a weekly basis for one year, after which the Fund was to close. Each member chose how much to save, but it was always some multiple of 10 taka. In practice, some saved 10, some 20 and a few were saving 50 taka a week (shown below the line in the diagram as ‘weekly pay-ins’). As they came in, these savings were stored with Rabeya, the Fund’s chairperson, a housewife who had run many

---

3 There are about 50 Bangladeshi taka to one US dollar
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Funds in her neighbourhood, where she was well known. She kept a simple set of accounts in a school exercise book.

As soon as this cash on hand became big enough, members with a need or an opportunity for a lump sum were allowed to borrow from it (shown above the line in the diagram as ‘optional advance’). The terms of these loans were straightforward – the borrower had to pay interest of 5% a month, and had to repay the loan before the end of the year (repayments and interest on loans are shown below the line in the diagram). Decisions on who took a loan and how much they took were, according to the Fund’s rules, made by the members collectively: in practice the Chairperson had by far the biggest say. She strove to make sure that everyone who wanted a loan could get one, and that no member borrowed an amount that was beyond what she estimated they could repay in the time allowed.

At the end of the year, the total fund, including the interest earned on the loans made from it, was put on the table and shared by the members in proportion to the savings they had made. It worked out that for each 10 taka saved per week, members got back 580 taka (shown as ‘pay-out and profit’ in the diagram). Thus a member saving 10 taka a week saved 520 in the year (52 weeks) and got a ‘profit’ of 60 taka. Members got this profit on their savings irrespective of whether they took a loan.

How did this Fund perform in comparison with the other devices? Compared to the moneylender the Fund’s advances are - at 60% APR⁴, instead of 180% - a much cheaper way of borrowing lump sums. As a way of saving up, the Fund is not only cheaper than saving with Jyothi, it returns a good profit. You earn 11.5% over and above what you put in (instead of losing 9% as with Jyothi)⁵. This is an APR of plus 23% (instead of minus 30% with Jyothi)⁶. As with Jyothi you can choose how much to save each week. But you don’t get a daily visit, and you can’t choose your own start date, since that is a decision that has to be made collectively. Best of all, the Fund offers you two ways of swapping small pay-ins for lump sums, instead of one. You save up and withdraw, but if you wish you can take an advance as well. This double opportunity makes the Fund more flexible than the ROSCA - at the cost of more paperwork and management.

This added management burden makes Funds less transparent and so more vulnerable to fraud than ROSCAs. Some conditions help to minimise this risk. For example, where Funds are very common the ratios they use tend to converge – so that almost every Fund in the area charges the same rate for loans and guarantees the same minimum return on savings. This ‘institutionalisation’ of Funds makes it easier for poor illiterate people to know exactly what they’re getting themselves into. Not all Funds are time-bound in the way that Rabeya’s was. Some go on for an indefinite period. But being time-bound is a very healthy feature that good Funds share with ROSCAs. During a ROSCA or at the end of a time-bound Fund either you get your money back or you don’t. If their ROSCAs or Funds don’t produce the goods, the members walk away and the device dies. As a result, poor managers are soon out of a job, and members flock to others with a sound record. This makes sure that the vast majority of such savings clubs are well run. I call this an ‘action audit’ and it substitutes very well for the sort of formal but less easily understandable audit that professional savings banks get accountants to do.

Funds can be wholly user-owned (run by the people that use them, as in Rabeya’s case), or run by club officers on behalf of users, as when a church or social club runs them. They can also be run professionally, and some bigger church and trade-association Funds are more or less ‘commercial’

---

⁴ 5% a month is equivalent to 60% a year. The formal equation for calculating APR is - professionals will note - different from the simplified (but useful) calculation I use. The law (in the UK) requires the use of the formula \((1 + \text{the interest rate for the period quoted})^{\text{to the power of the number of such periods in a year}}, \text{minus 1}\). Under this formula 5% a month is an APR of 79.5%. \((1 + 0.004)^{12} - 1\), not 60%. This allows for the fact that if you pay the interest each month instead of in one lump at the end then you are out of pocket and the loan has effectively cost you more. This extra cost can be significant in loans on which interest is paid at short intervals, as in home mortgages in the rich world. My calculation ignores this sophistication, though where interest is paid at the end - as in the calculation in the previous footnote - there is no difference.

⁵ If you are saving 10 taka a week you put in 520 taka in the year and earn extra 60 rupees. 60 is 11.5% of 520.

⁶ If you are saving 10 taka a week then over the year you have an average of 260 taka on deposit. On this you earn 60 rupees. 60 is 23% of 260.
in that what they charge for the service generates a surplus, ensuring their continuity. We shall look at some examples in the third chapter. Meanwhile, our last example in this chapter is also run commercially, and is a deliberate attempt to sum up many of the lessons of basic personal financial intermediation in one device.

8 SafeSave

If we return to the early sections of this chapter and review, in their light, the examples shown so far (Jyothi, the moneylender, the ROSCA and the Fund), we shall find two respects in which the circumstances and needs of the poor are still not being met.

First, we noted that poor people need to store savings for the long run, for widowhood or old age or for their heirs. None of the examples shown so far helps them to do this (or at least not directly).

Second, we noted that poor people's ability to save fluctuates with time, so that they may be able to save a lot in one week and very little in another. But in all our examples so far there is the requirement for a (more or less) fixed saving at a fixed interval (the same sum each day for each box on Jyothi's card or for Mary's ROSCA, or for each week for the moneylender or for Rabeya's Fund).

Both of these shortcomings are particularly difficult for the very poor. It is the very poor who suffer most hardship in old age and most need financial protection for the end of their lives. And many poor people get excluded from these devices - and often indeed exclude themselves - out of anxiety that they won't be able to save the same amount every day (or week, or month) for a whole year (or other period).

The SafeSave diagram shows how SafeSave tries to get round these shortcomings.

SafeSave has Collectors (field staff) who visit each client each day at their home or workplace. They provide the same opportunity to save (or repay) that Jyothi and daily ROSCAs do. On each occasion, clients may save, but in any amount they like, including zero. The 'pay-ins' in the diagram show this - they vary over time. From this accumulation of savings clients may withdraw a lump sum at any time they like - this is shown by the solid black amounts. Then, as in a Fund, they can take optional advances, but - better than an Fund - clients repay when they like and can take as long as they like as long as they pay the interest (shown as fees) each month. Finally, as in a Fund, they get a pay-out of their accumulated savings plus profits. But unlike in a time-bound Fund like Rabeya's, they can leave these savings on deposit for as long as they like and earn even more profit the longer they leave them in. The only respect in which this flexibility is compromised is that they cannot withdraw...
from savings while they are holding an advance (except to repay the advance) and for this reason clients are allowed to hold more than one account.

The current version of SafeSave running in the slums of Dhaka, Bangladesh pays clients a little under 10% a year on savings (much less than Rabeya’s Fund but more than formal banks) and charges an APR of about 28% on advances (much less than Rabeya’s Fund but more than formal banks).

SafeSave raises many questions. One of them is whether SafeSave can be run profitably, generating surpluses that guarantee its sustainable and fuel its expansion. Unless that can be shown to be the case, SafeSave will not contribute much to banking with the poor. Though early signs are encouraging, SafeSave is still young - it began only in 1996 - and more time is needed to see if it will pass this crucial test. No more will be said on this issue here, since this chapter is concerned with ‘basic personal financial intermediation’ and focuses on the user’s perspective.

The main question raised by SafeSave in that context is discipline. We have already seen that without discipline it is hard to save. This is true whether those savings are made following an advance against savings (as with moneylenders), or whether they precede a withdrawal or advance (as with a deposit collector like Jyothi) or are made both before and after a withdrawal/advance (as in a ROSCA). Moneylenders enforce discipline by their regular weekly visit, and Jyothi does it by daily appearances on the doorsteps of her clients. ROSCAs fail if their self-imposed discipline falters. SafeSave is no different, except that it has given up some things that undeniably promote discipline very strongly - uniformity of deposit size, and regularity of deposit. In all the other examples shown so far the user pays a set amount at a set interval. In SafeSave the user may pay at any interval and in any amount - including zero. The risk is, therefore, that without any compulsion to pay a set sum at a set interval, SafeSave’s clients will simply fail to save. SafeSave’s experimental aspect is precisely that it is testing the extent to which a frequent and reliable opportunity to save is a way of maintaining savings discipline. So far, the indications are good. It looks as if the frequent opportunity to save - having someone knock on your door each day - is as good, or even better, as a way of maximising savings, as the obligation to pay a set sum at a set interval.

Summing up SafeSave:
SafeSave is a deliberate attempt to set up a financial service scheme for the poor which meets their circumstances and needs as understood by this author over twenty years of research and practice. It allows for the fact that the poor can save and want to save - but can save only in small (but variable sized) amounts and can’t save each and every day.

It allows for the fact that the poor need to turn those savings into usefully large lump sums at both short and long-term notice, and sometimes without notice. It recognises that to help them do this it must allow them - on a daily basis - all three of the ‘basic personal financial intermediation’ functions:

- the chance to save and withdraw
- the chance to take an advance against future savings
- the opportunity to store up savings for long-term needs

SafeSave recognises that no-one can save without discipline, and offers a daily opportunity to save to all its clients as a way of developing and maintaining that discipline.

SafeSave is thus the most flexible of all the examples dealt with in this chapter, and because of this is the most attractive to the very poor who can be frightened off by the need to pay set sums at set intervals.

It may occur to you that - with the exception of the doorstep service offered by its Collectors - the financial services SafeSave offers are rather like what is available over the counter to ordinary customers of banks in the rich world. It is a combination of current account, savings account, long-term deposit, and loans.

Should that surprise you?

Conclusion
This chapter has introduced ‘basic personal financial intermediation’ - the process through which people turn their savings into usefully large lump sums of money. Poor people need this process as much as anyone else, because poor people can save and poor people have frequent need, throughout their lives, of ‘usefully large lump sums of money’. Other ways of getting hold of large sums of cash, such as being the beneficiary of charity, or selling or pawning assets, are either unreliable or unsustainable. The task of financial services for the poor, therefore, is to deliver them mechanisms through which the swap from savings into lump sums can be made.
As an introduction to the wide variety of such mechanisms, the chapter has described three informal devices. Deposit collectors will accept people's savings and return a lump sum to them, moneylenders will provide the lump sum up front and then collect savings in repayment, and ROSCAs allow people get together to make savings from which each in turn takes their lump sum. Elements from these three systems can be combined to provide a more flexible service, as we saw in the example of Rabeya's Fund.

These devices are all time-bound, but poor people's needs for basic personal financial intermediation are never-ending, so many poor people engage in cycle after cycle with their deposit collector, money lender, ROSCA, or Fund. SafeSave, the last example in the chapter, illustrates one way of serving poor people's longer term needs for swaps, by allowing them to keep money on deposit for the long term. SafeSave, unlike the other devices discussed so far, allows savings deposits to be made as and when the saver has them to hand: the idea behind this flexibility is that the very poor, who may feel unable to save set sums at set intervals, can also avail the service.

All the main ideas of my essay have now been expressed. If you wish to read on, you will find, in Chapters Two and Three, much more detailed descriptions of the kinds of devices that you can expect to find in slums and village in the developing world. After that, in Chapter Four, I describe a little of the recent work that has been done by outsiders to bring more and better financial services to the poor.
Notes

Town and country. We noted that people can save money when it is ‘on the way out’ (during expenditure) as well as when it is on the way in (at the time income is received). This helps to correct a common misapprehension about the differences between town and country. I sometimes hear it said that in the urban slums people can save because they have a variety of sources continually producing income - but rural farmers may only get income at the end of each growing season, and that is the only time they can save’. This ignores the fact that in many countries the rural poor are often not farmers, having lost their land. They are day labourers and may earn on a daily or weekly basis. But even those poor who are farmers go to market frequently - once or twice a month, or even weekly - to buy perishable or expendable items like salt, fresh food, kerosene oil, matches, and so on. The money they use for this can come from several sources, including the sale of short-term farm produce like eggs, chickens, or fruit, or from income from supplementary work like cutting firewood, or from selling bigger items in which they have stored (or saved) value, such as stocks of grain, pigs or goats. Each such market visit presents an opportunity to save some money, even if this saving simply converts a non-money form of saving (the piglet) into cash savings.

Saving in kind. Mentioning piglets reminds us that poor people often save in non-money ways. These non-monetary savings may be very important to their owners, but they are not the subject of this essay, except in the following sense. Poor people sometimes store their savings in livestock or other non-money ways simply because they haven’t got access to a safe, rewarding, inflation-proof place to save money. Once they are given the opportunity, poor people often choose to convert some of their non-money savings into cash savings. This is because cash savings can be more useful, and less risky, than non-money savings. The piglet may get sick and die or be stolen, and if you all need is two dollars to buy medicine for a sick child, it is rather troublesome to have to sell a piglet worth thirty dollars. Also, non-money savings are themselves easier to manage if you have access to a cash-savings service. After all, when you’ve sold the thirty-dollar piglet you need somewhere to put the twenty-eight dollars left over after buying the medicine. And if you save in the form of gold ornaments, as some poor people do, how did you save up the cash to buy the ornament in the first place? SafeSave customers often use SafeSave to save up enough to buy an earring. The inescapable conclusion is this - that a cash-savings service is useful even to people who prefer to store most of their savings in non-cash forms. As the world becomes ever more monetized many poor people are coming to see that for themselves, and the demand for financial services grows.

Pawning. In some countries pawnshops have been outlawed, sometimes so successfully that some readers from those countries require an explanation of pawning (after which they normally recognise the phenomenon which tends to exist in their ‘grey’ economies under some local name). A pawn is a movable asset (most commonly a precious metal, above all gold) that is taken as security for a loan by a lender - the ‘pawnbroker’. You take your gold ring along to him and he weighs it and gives you, if you’re lucky, about 60% of its market value. When you pay him back (with interest) you get the ring back. If you never pay him back he keeps the ring and in the end sells it. Pawning is to the town what mortgaging land is to the countryside - an example of a class of financial services for the poor by which assets can be turned into cash and back again.

Other ways to get hold of usefully large sums of money. We noted that you can sometimes sell assets that you expect to hold in the future - selling your chickens before they’ve hatched, as it were. As well as selling assets like crops in advance, you can also sell your labour (or that of your children or spouse) in advance. This is common in rural Bangladesh and in several other countries. We could list other examples of ingenious ways to get hold of money, but this essay sticks to those that are
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7 Money is ‘fungible’ - it can be quickly converted into services or goods (including medicine and piglets). It is the point of money to be fungible. That’s why we invented it.

8 This definition of pawning is similar to the conventional one for mortgaging. Another way to look at pawning is to say that it is not part of a loan contract, but is the sale of goods linked to a promise to buy it back again, under which part of its value is forfeited if the re-purchase fails to take place.
common everywhere, and which involve mainly financial transactions, rather than sales of goods or labour.

Chapter Two:
Doing it yourself: ROSCAs and ASCAs

Savings clubs

Savings clubs are groups of people who come together to set up and run their own basic personal financial intermediation services. There are two kinds of clubs - the ROSCA kind (where everyone puts in and takes out the same amount) and the ‘accumulating’ kind (where they don’t).

The world of money management for the poor is rich and complex. Schemes and services have long histories, and countless variations have evolved. Geographic areas have come up with solutions tailored to their particular social and economic conditions. As a result, it's not easy to categorise financial services for the poor. Nevertheless, this chapter and the next divide the services into three classes - savings clubs, managers and informal providers - a classification based on who owns and manages the services. The categories are robust enough to be useful, if not water-tight.

Savings clubs are composed of people who come together to pool their savings in various ways. These clubs are owned and managed by their members, and it is this characteristic that distinguishes savings clubs from the other two classes. There are, however, two main kinds of user-owned savings club. There is the ROSCA kind where the cash rotates evenly between all the group members (as in Mary’s merry-go-round), and an ‘accumulating’ type where some members borrow and others don’t (as in Rabeya’s Fund). For the accumulating (Fund) type I am going to use the name that Fritz Bouman gave them – the ASCA, for Accumulating Savings and Credit Association.

Managers are those that run savings clubs for other people. Religious and welfare organisations often do this on a voluntary or non-profit basis, but there are also commercial managers, such as those who earn a fee for managing ROSCAs for people – I call them ‘chit managers’, after the Indian name for the ROSCA.

Informal providers are a mixed bunch who have in common the fact that they provide basic personal financial intermediation services to others. Deposit collectors (such as Jyothi), moneylenders (like Ramalu’s) and pawnbrokers are examples. Usually they deal with users of their services on an individual basis, and most charge for their services.

My classification system therefore looks like this:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Three classes of basic personal financial intermediation services for the poor:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Savings Clubs (owner-managed) (described in this chapter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSCAs (where the cash rotates evenly between members)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Including religious and welfare organisations, and ‘chit’ managers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and this gives us a structure for this and the following chapter. This chapter deals with ROSCAs and ASCAs, while the next describes Managers and Providers. A section on the ingenious ‘ububutungnguls’ of northern Philippines, is included at the end of this chapter as a demonstration of the inventiveness of poor people when it comes to managing money – and as a reminder of how hard it can be to categorise their inventions.

---

9 I used ‘Funds’ to describe Rabeya’s savings club in Chapter One because that’s what their users call them.
1 The ROSCA

The ROSCA is the world’s most efficient and cheapest financial intermediary device. The best form of ROSCA – the auction ROSCA – matches savers perfectly with borrowers, and rewards both of them.

With its description of Mary’s savings club or ‘merry-go-round’ Chapter One provided an example of how the poor can and do get together to manage their own basic personal financial inter-mediation. The merry-go-round is just one of many variations of the ROSCA, or rotating savings and credit association. ROSCAs are found in their tens of thousands on every continent, and have been for many years. There are references to ROSCAs in Japan dating back six hundred years. This essay is not going to tell the history of the ROSCA, nor will it offer evidence about the huge numbers of ROSCAs found round the world, since there is documentation already available, as the bibliography shows. Rather, noting that the ROSCA is indeed an extremely popular intermediation device, this essay will try to honour it by describing as simply as possible its major variants and explaining their differences.

Definitions

It was the anthropologist Shirley Ardener who devised what has become the standard definition of a ROSCA:

an association formed upon a core of participants who make regular contributions to a fund which is given, in whole or in part, to each contributor in rotation

Thus, in Mary’s merry-go-round, there are fifteen members (the ‘core of participants’) each of whom makes a daily contribution of 100 shillings. That daily total (1,500 shillings) is given in whole to each contributor in turn. The process takes fifteen days.

In what follows I use the word ‘round’ to refer to each distribution of the lump sum (the number of which will equal the number of members). The word ‘cycle’ is used for the complete set of rounds, after which the ROSCA comes naturally to an end (though it may be repeated, with or without variations in the number of members, or in the amount and frequency of the contributions). In Mary’s case there is a round each day for a fifteen-day cycle, and then they start another cycle.

The ROSCA’s advantages....

The very elegance and neatness of the ROSCA gives it great appeal, and like many others I’m drawn to it partly for that reason. I joined a ROSCA in Mexico in 1974 and have been fascinated by them ever since. So they get first place in this chapter.

The virtues of ROSCAs are apparent in Mary’s club, which neatly arranges the small daily savings of fifteen people into a series of fifteen large lump sums, which each member in turn enjoys. The ROSCA, which then ends (only to be reborn into another cycle), has cost no money to run and is wonderfully transparent - without elaborate books its accounts are clear to each and every member, even if they include the illiterate. No outsiders are involved, no one is beholden to anyone else, and no one has profited from anyone else’s difficulties. Moreover, no money has had to be stored by the managers of the ROSCA, because all cash passes from members to member directly. This has two healthy results. Firstly, it greatly reduces the risk of misappropriation. Secondly, it makes ROSCAs extremely efficient. Indeed, ROSCAs could reasonably claim to be the most efficient intermediation device around, since at each round the savings of many are transformed instantaneously, with no middlemen, into a lump sum for one person.

...and its perceived disadvantages

However, when people first hear about ROSCAs they often react by listing their disadvantages - as they see them. Usually, their first objection is ‘what stops those who first get the lump sums from running away?’. The next is ‘but the system is unfair - the ones who get the lump sum first have a huge advantage. They get an interest-free loan at their fellow-members’ expense’.

---

10 That is, before modern banking evolved in southern Europe.
We have already hinted at the answers to these two objections, in the first chapter. People like to save regularly if they can, to build up lump sums, so even the ‘end-takers’ still benefit from a ROSCA compared to paying a deposit-collector like Jyothi or a moneylender. And people tend not to run away from services that they like. However, we shall be able to build even better answers to these objections by looking at the ROSCA in more detail.

Four ways of running ROSCAs
We start by listing the four main ways in which ROSCA users decide the order in which the lump sum is taken. They are:

1. by prior agreement
2. by agreement each round
3. by lottery
4. by bidding for the lump sum

Deciding the order of the draw by prior agreement
Mary’s merry-go-round falls into type 1. This type is particularly appropriate when the intention is to run many cycles of the ROSCA one after the other, as in Mary’s case. After a few cycles, any ‘unfairness’ in the order has shrunk to insignificance, and every member’s situation is the same - she gets her lump sum every fifteen days (for example). This pattern of prior-agreement multi-cycle ROSCAs is the dominant form of ROSCA in Nairobi’s slums. It provides slum-dwellers with a secure way of saving regularly and continuously. Its simplicity - no decisions about the order of disbursement need be taken apart from the initial one, and no mechanism like lotteries or auctions are required - suits this continuous, routine savings function especially well. It means that members don’t have to get together in a meeting each time the lump sum is taken, and many such ROSCAs run without meetings, or hold meetings only at the close of each cycle (which may also be the start of the next). Very convenient.

Deciding the order of the draw at each round
Where members are well acquainted with one another ROSCAs sometimes function as type 2, with a fresh decision about who gets the lump sum made at the time of each round, usually on the basis of who needs it the most. There are probably fewer of this kind of ROSCA than of any other kind, perhaps because of the difficulties of assessing ‘need’ without recourse to the price mechanism (see auction ROSCAs below), and the risk that the more articulate or the more cunning will manipulate the process.

But there is a variant of this type that is quite common, in which the ROSCA is initiated by someone who suddenly needs a lump sum and who gets friends to join in. Thus in the mountainous north of The Philippines I have met rural schoolteachers who go for many months without running a ROSCA, until one of them wants cash to furnish a new home and calls on her fellow teachers to start a ROSCA (usually funded from monthly salaries). She takes the first lump sum, and accepts responsibility for the management of subsequent rounds until the ROSCA finishes. Some lottery and auction ROSCAs (see below) are also started in this way by an individual with a pressing need.

Deciding the order of the draw by chance – lottery ROSCAs
‘Lottery’ ROSCAs are a huge and varied class of ROSCA found almost everywhere. In some countries they dominate - Bangladesh is an example. The lottery avoids the problems of any perceived ‘unfairness’ in the order in which the lump sum is taken, or of comparing people’s needs, by leaving that order to chance. Typically, names are drawn out of ‘hats’ (or the local equivalent). Every member’s name goes into the ‘hat’ in the first round, but winners are excluded from the lotteries of subsequent rounds. Obviously for the last round no lottery is needed, there being by then only one remaining member who hasn’t yet received the lump sum.

The lottery itself also generates a certain amount of excitement, which brings a crowd of onlookers which in turn helps to make the process public and fair - though this ‘festival air’ tends to die down after a while. And of course members sometimes find ways round the arbitrariness of the lottery.

Such patterns of reciprocal obligation characterise many other cash exchanges that are not strictly speaking ‘clubs’. Details of arrangements such as the neota of northern India, in which families are duty-bound to contribute cash for weddings among their neighbours, and then expect to receive the same help when they have a wedding, are reported in Jodkha and are summarised in Rutherford [1996, 1]
Friends may agree to ‘swap’ (or share) their luck where one has a more pressing need than another, or one member may even ‘buy’ another member’s lucky draw.

Precisely because lottery ROSCAs don’t involve a group of friends deciding which among them most needs the cash, they can afford to have a more varied membership made up of people who don’t know each other very well, or who are complete strangers. In Bangladesh, a typical ROSCA in the capital, Dhaka, is run by a small-time shopkeeper who arranges the regular lottery. Not everyone comes to the meeting, and many members pay as and when they can, often between meetings, sometimes in instalments. The shopkeeper keeps the records of who has paid, and chases up late-payers. In the ‘moral economy’ of Dhaka it is not yet considered proper for such ‘managers’ to run ROSCAs commercially, so he (or she) bashfully accepts ‘tips’ from members as a reward for this work.

**Deciding the order of the draw by bidding – auction ROSCAs**

By leaving the selection of ‘winners’ to chance, lottery ROSCAs are more flexible and less troublesome, and can cater to a wider variety of people and of needs than where the winner is decided round-by-round by group consensus. As we saw, however, members sometimes ‘buy’ a lucky draw from another member. But there is an even more flexible way to cater fairly to a wide range of people and their individual needs, and that is by setting up a market to decide who should take the lump sum at each round. This allocates cash to the member who most values it at the time, while compensating others by rewarding them richly for their patience. It thus benefits both ‘borrowers’ and ‘savers’, and elegantly arranges them in serial order with those who most need to borrow taking the lump sum at the beginning and those most content to save taking it at the end.

This is how they work. Imagine a twelve-person ROSCA that meets monthly with each member contributing $10 (that is twelve ‘rounds’ for a twelve month ‘cycle’). At each round $120 is available as the lump sum. At the first round those members in immediate need of cash choose to bid for the lump sum. Let us say that five members want the money, but the one who most wants it is willing to bid $24, and wins. She then takes $96 of the lump sum ($120 minus 24), while her bid of $24 is given back, in equal shares, to each of the twelve members, who walk off with $2 each (thus making a net contribution of only $8 that month).

As the rounds proceed, the size of the winning bid tends to diminish, since there are fewer and fewer people in the auction. This is so because, as in other ROSCAs, each member takes the lump sum - or a part of it - once only. At the last round there is no need of an auction, because there is only one member left in. He gets the full $120.

**Doing the sums for auction ROSCAs**

Calculating how each member fares in such a ROSCA has caused arithmetic mayhem among the experts, so let’s make some simplifying assumptions. Let’s assume that the members who won the first four rounds all bid $24, members taking rounds five through eight each bid $12, and in the last four rounds there were no bids at all, so those members got the full $120. The bids total $144 (four times $24 plus four times $12). These bids were redistributed equally among the members, so each member got $12 back ($144 divided by 12). The total amount contributed by each member must equal 12 rounds of $10 each, which comes to $120, less the $12 from their share of the bids, for a total of $108. Contributions are thus the same for each and every member. But the total amount taken out by each member varies. For example, the first member took out $96 on the first round, while the last member took out the full $120 but had to wait until the last round.

Let’s look now at that last member in more detail. He put in $108 over the year and then took out $120, so he earned $12 ‘interest’ ($120 minus 108). He had on average $54 ‘on deposit’ during that year\(^{12}\). So he earned $12 on $54, that is a rate of just over 22% a year. Not bad.

The first member also put in $108 over the year, but, as we saw, she took out $96 on the very first day. So she ‘paid’ $12 in ‘interest’ (matching what the last member ‘earned’). Since she paid in an average of $9 a month she had ‘repaid’ her $96 ‘loan’ in a little under eleven months. She thus paid $12 interest for a loan that averaged $48 over eleven months. This is an interest rate of 24% over eleven months, or about 26% a year.

Here are the diagrams for the first and last members in our example, at the same scale:

\(^{12}\) In some auction ROSCAs only the nine non-winners would share this discount.

\(^{13}\) Well, actually, not quite, since he put in more in the last four months than he did in the first four. This will skew things slightly in his favour compared to my calculation above. The inverse is true for the first member.
My suggestion that the auction is a way of ensuring that the lump sums go, each round, to those who most need them sometimes provokes strong disagreement. ‘Not so’, say these critics, ‘as in much of the real world, the sums go not to those who most need them but merely to those who can most easily afford them. In this way they merely perpetuate the conditions that the poor unfairly suffer in so many other aspects of life’. But whatever may be the truth of that as a general commentary on life, it isn’t really true in the case of an auction ROSCA. After all, even the poorest member of all can still bid in the first round, and can win it if he is willing to accept the biggest discount. He isn’t disadvantaged by a richer member standing next door to him with his pockets bulging with cash.

The range of bid sizes in auction ROSCAs
The rates in the example above - 26% a year for a loan and 22% a year on savings - would, in most countries, be more attractive than most other services available to poor savers and borrowers. But these rates are not typical for ROSCAs, they are merely examples to demonstrate the arithmetic involved. In practice ROSCA members often bid much more than the modest 20% of the lump sum on offer used in the example above. In northern coastal Vietnam I talked to capital-hungry fishermen eager to invest in new equipment and found that in their ROSCAs, which are very common, early bids commonly reach 50% of the lump sum, or more. In other countries, notably India, bids are often so high that government has tried to legislate to limit them. Very high bidding means that net ‘borrowers’ pay a higher price for their ‘loans’ while those who choose to take their pay-out near the end receive very high implied rates of interest on their savings. ROSCAs are thus a very sensitive instrument for measuring, at frequent intervals, the price to the poor of capital in a local area (a point that economists and the designers of financial services for the poor might note).

The ROSCA ‘sprint’
Sukhwinder Arora noted that in the Indian towns that we were studying many slum dwellers were pushing money through ROSCAs (particularly auction ones) at a much faster rate than through any other type of savings club or financial service. He rightly describes ROSCAs as ‘sprints’, comparing them to more sedate services such as a savings bank, which he calls ‘marathons’. In an ordinary savings account at a bank or Post Office you build up your savings gradually, over the long term, and it doesn’t matter much if you don’t save for several weeks or even months on end. In an auction ROSCA, by contrast, you commit yourself to the highest possible level and frequency of regular saving you dare, by joining the ROSCA with the biggest contributions and most frequent rounds you can find (or that will let you in). For that reason the very poorest are the least well represented among users of auction ROSCAs. We noted in the first chapter that one disadvantage of devices which require fixed contributions at fixed intervals is that the very poor may be scared off or prevented from joining, because of fear of not being able to maintain the strict schedule.

As you would expect, people with businesses favour auction ROSCAs as a way of getting hold of investment capital. Equally, people with regular incomes - above all salaries - favour them as a way of getting a good return on their regular savings. Businessmen can be fairly sure of being able to make the contributions at the fast pace required, and their businesses represent for them attractive opportunities for investment of the lump sum. Because in many societies running a business is seen as a male activity, auction ROSCAs are sometimes seen as ‘men’s ROSCAs’, while lottery ROSCAs are

---

14 Of course, members who bid high also, like the net savers, enjoy high returns on their deposits, thus offsetting their costs somewhat.
for women. This is true, for example, in some South Asian cities. Salaried people may use an auction ROSCA as a place to store their savings on a month-by-month basis, and may chose to put the lump sum, when it arrives, into a permanent home such as a savings bank. In this way they can balance the advantages of high returns and some risk (the auction ROSCA) with high security but lower returns (the savings bank).

That completes our survey of the main ROSCA types. But there are some other characteristics of ROSCAs in general that need a mention. They include the questions of trust, of innovation, and of how ROSCAs spread.

**Trust, and the composition of ROSCAs**

Who trusts ROSCAs enough to join them? In Dhaka, as elsewhere, there are some single-sex ROSCAs, but most are of mixed sex. Some ROSCAs are run by very homogenous groups of people - workers on the same floor of a garments factory provide a good example - but they are more often composed of a mixed bunch of neighbours, family and friends. We’ll come back to this fact in Chapter Four when we consider Bangladesh’s famous ‘quasi-banks’ many of whom form groups that are very homogenous with regard to sex and class. ROSCAs, as they go on from cycle to cycle, tend to retain members who perform well, and shed ones that are difficult or slow payers, while adding new members who are recommended by existing ‘good’ members. A rich mix of members of all ages and both sexes and of varying relationships results.

Where, then, does the ‘trust’ come from to run a ROSCA, if the members didn’t all know each other beforehand? It comes from action. Trust is not a commodity that can be imported automatically from some prior set of relationships. It is something that has to be made and remade - and thereby reinforced - over and over again. People stay in ROSCAs because they observe, round by round, that everyone else is obeying the rules. Trust is more of a verb than a noun. Perfect strangers, coming together with the limited aim of running a ROSCA, can sometimes construct and practice trust more easily than people with histories of complex relationships with each other.

**ROSCA innovation**

Of course, ROSCAs can and do develop safeguards against wilful cheating. In this ROSCAs have proved very innovative. As far as we can tell, there were very few ROSCAs in Bangladesh until about 1980, but since then they have spread and multiplied very quickly. In so doing they have spawned many new variations. ‘Rickshaw ROSCAs’ are a favourite of mine. Poor men driven from villages by poverty come to Dhaka where the only work they can get is to hire a rickshaw (for say 25 taka a day) and hope to earn a net daily profit of, say, 80 taka (about $2). In the 1980s such men - illiterate and new to the city, and without any help from NGOs or other source - devised a standard ROSCA system which has worked to the advantage of many thousands of them. Groups of them get together and agree to contribute 25 taka a day to a fund which is held, for the time being, by a trusted outsider (often the keeper of the stall where they take their tea at the day’s end). Every ten days or so there is enough in the kitty to buy one new rickshaw, and that rickshaw is distributed by lottery to one of the members. The process continues until everyone has his own rickshaw. They have learnt how to arrange the number of members, the daily contribution, and the interval between rounds, to best suit their cash-flow and the price of a rickshaw.

But one of their finest innovations is their rule that once a member has ‘won’ his rickshaw in a draw, he must from then on contribute double each day. There is a ‘natural justice’ in this, since now that he has his own rickshaw he doesn’t have to pay to hire one, and he is therefore no worse off. It is seen as a fair way of compensating late winners for their long wait. But the device has two other effects. It shortens the length of the ROSCA cycle. This is because by the time half the members have won their rickshaws, enough extra money is coming in each day to reduce by a third the amount of time needed between rounds. And it gives winners an incentive to pay up and finish the cycle quickly, so as to hasten the day when they can enjoy the full income from each day’s work. Clever. Some hard-working single-minded men that I know came to Dhaka ten years ago as penniless immigrants, joined successive rickshaw ROSCAs and built up big fleets of rickshaws, then sold up and bought taxis.

---

15 Not practised by all rickshaw ROSCAs
How ROSCAs spread – and grow
One of the curious things about ROSCAs is the distribution and incidence of the different types. Take South Asia. In the slums and suburbs of the city of Indore nearly all the ROSCAs Sukhwinder and I could find were of the lottery type. When we moved south and east to Vijayawada we found that most were auction ROSCAs. In some northern states of India the ROSCA remains rare, in any form. In Bangladesh it seems the ROSCA was virtually unknown twenty years ago, and today, though there are tens of thousands of lottery ROSCAs, there are still no auction ones (as far as I know), and there are far fewer ROSCAs of any type in the countryside than in the towns. In some places the ROSCA, or one particular type of ROSCA, is identified with a particular social group - a profession, maybe, or an ethnic group. Finding out how these patterns have come about is a piece of research waiting to be done. So far, we have only guesses. There is a debate going on among archaeologists about exactly how agriculture spread from the fertile plains of West Asia to Europe. Did the idea spread from village to village, by copying, or did it require the migration of a particular ‘farming people’? Did the lottery ROSCA arrive in Bangladesh because Bangladeshis on trips to India copied what they saw others doing, or was it brought from India to Dhaka by one of the immigrant groups who have settled there?
However they spread, there is evidence from many parts of the world that ROSCAs are enjoying a period of spectacular growth. Not only are they refusing to go away when formal financial services arrive, they are increasing in both number and complexity.

16 Genetic science is beginning to favour the latter explanation, whereas traditional archaeology has long accepted the former. Perhaps we should think of ROSCA types as ‘memes’ - the intellectual equivalent of the gene suggested by Richard Hawkins (in The Selfish Gene).
2 The ASCA

ASCAs lack the clarity of ROSCAs, and so need more management skills if they are to run well. They may suffer more fraud. But their advantages are also significant: they offer the chance to use more than one type of 'swap', they can be put to uses like insurance more easily than ROSCAs, and some manage to intermediate savings over much longer periods of time.

Wonderful though they are, ROSCAs form only one of two large classes of savings clubs. In a basic ROSCA, a number of people meet, each puts a sum of money on the table, and then all the money is given to one person. In the minds of the members is the certainty that this simple drama will be played again next week (or tomorrow or next month) but with the money going to a different member. In subsequent weeks the scene will be replayed until everyone has taken the lump sum once. And when there have been as many rounds as there are members, it is certain that the cycle will come to an end. Then that's it. ROSCAs are symmetrical and time-bound.

But what if you start with the same basic ingredients – a group of people coming together to put cash on the table - but leave out the symmetry that, in a ROSCA, compels you to hand over the cash immediately to one member? A Pandora's box of possibilities opens up. We could store the money, keeping it with the cashier or putting it in the bank. We could lend it to one of our members, or to more than one of them, or even to outsiders. If we lend it, we can, if we like, charge interest. But in that case how much interest should we charge? And how quickly should the borrower return the money? What will be the criteria for borrowing – can people take a loan for just anything, or are we all saving for a single set purpose? Besides, is it even necessary to save the same amount each week? Why can't you and I save different amounts, or a different amount each week? Do we need to save any longer once we have built up a decent fund? And anyway, how long is this thing going to go on for - a year, three years, until we have enough for us all to buy a motorbike, until some contingency arises, for ever...? And who's going to keep the accounts?

Put together any combination of this long list of variables and the chances are that somewhere in the world there's an ASCA that runs like that. Out of this infinite set of possible ASCA types, this chapter will describe only a handful. Interspersed among these descriptions will be a discussion of two of the biggest issues that confront any group of people who decide to set up an ASCA – interest rates and longevity (how long a life the ASCA should have).

ASCAs that are time-bound...

We have seen an example of a time-bound ASCA when we looked at Rabeya's 'Fund' in Chapter One. In this type of ASCA, members agree to a high level of standardisation and discipline. Everybody saves on the same day each week, and everybody either saves ten taka or a multiple of ten taka. For accounting purposes, the Chairperson can think in terms of ten taka units, or 'shares', of which some members have only one while others have several: this is a useful device which simplifies the book-keeping task. The life of the ASCA is set, right at the beginning, at 52 weeks, and this is rigidly respected. Loans all carry the same interest rate, loans to outsiders and not permitted (too risky) and all loans have to be ‘in’ by the end of the year. Despite a certain inflexibility that these rules impose, in Dhaka ASCAs of this sort are beginning to displace ones with laxer rules. Why should this be?

In a time-bound ASCA there comes a time when the books must be closed and all the money finally and fully accounted for. This gives the ASCA something of the clarity and strength of the ROSCA, since the members either get their savings back (with profits) or they don't. ROSCAs have come to Dhaka quite recently, and are growing rapidly. Dhaka's ASCA-users have seen for themselves the advantages of ROSCA-type discipline. ASCAs that don't meet this ROSCA-like basic test - getting your money back - die quickly these days. An evolutionary shuffling process sets in whereby good Chairpersons (or committees) with sound books, like Rabeya, run ASCA after ASCA, and less skilled (or even fraudulently-inclined) managers don't get a second chance to muddle or cheat their members.
...and ASCAs that are not time-bound
And muddling and cheating certainly goes on. There are some parts of the world - rural Bangladesh is an example - where better-off and more articulate villagers often cheat their poorer less-educated neighbours through the use of loosely organised and poorly run ASCAs. Taking advantage of the poors' need to find a place to save, and posing as 'patrons', they collect savings over a period of time. But in some strange way those savings disappear, or for some unexpected 'reason' never seem to be available to the poor families that deposited them. Such unsatisfactory examples of ASCAs are rarely, if ever, time-bound: there is no specified moment when the members, without embarrassment, can get an 'action audit' and can make up their own minds about how well the ASCA is running. In villages I have studied in Bangladesh (where the ROSCA is yet to penetrate the countryside), ASCAs of this sort spring up every now and again, fail, and then after an interval - so pressing is the need to save - another one starts up, only to suffer the same sad fate. It is partly for this reason that, as we shall see in Chapter Four, rural Bangladeshis so gladly accepted the much more reliable services offered them by the Grameen Bank and its imitators.

But ASCAs that are not time-bound have their own virtues, the greatest of which is that they allow savings to be built up over the long term. Although ROSCAs (like Mary's) and time-bound ASCAs (like Rabeya's) can repeat themselves cycle after cycle, each cycle is complete in itself, and all the money has to be returned to the members. But as we saw in our analysis of financial services needs in the first chapter, poor people also need to save up over the long term - for old age, for their heirs, for marriage, and so on.

ASCAs and insurance
They also need to provide against emergencies, and with this task - insurance - ASCAs that are not time-bound can help. We'll stay in the slums of Dhaka for our example, and look at the schemes that soften the losses caused to slum dwellers when their property is destroyed by fire (and other risks like having the authorities bulldoze your slum). Dhaka's slums are highly combustible. The buildings are made with woven bamboo walls, they sit cheek to jowl, and cooking is done inside, over open fires. It needs only a moment of inattention, or a naughty child, to set them ablaze. Once a fire has set in, it is likely to wipe out dozens of homes and shops at a time. Since there is no public compensation for residents and shopkeepers who lose out in such fires, some slums have instituted a form of self-help insurance that is, in essence, a type of ASCA.

In these ASCAs residents agree to save a set sum each week (or a multiple thereof) which is collected by a cashier and banked. In the event of a fire, the fund is withdrawn and distributed to members in proportion to their contribution. For an individual user, the diagram looks like the one alongside.

Nothing could be simpler. The pay-out equals the total of the contributions paid in at the time of the fire. Bank interest is used to cover the expenses of running the scheme, so the user earns no interest. Because it is important to have immediate access to the cash after a fire, the fund is not lent back to members, but has been banked. In this level of simplicity, the essence of working well, one of the essential characteristics of an insurance ASCA is that the fund is released when - and only when - an identified contingency arises. This singularity of purpose is another aspect that adds discipline to ASCAs, and helps them to run better than more open-ended non-time-bound ASCAs. Other well-running savings clubs also have single purposes, as we noticed when we looked at 'rickshaw ROSCAs' earlier in this chapter. Indeed, our distinction between ROSCAs and ASCAs begins to soften when we look at particular cases. Take the case of better-off slum dwellers who form savings clubs with the long-term aim of buying land on the outskirts of town and thereby escaping
the slum. Regular pay-ins go into a fund that is banked until there is enough to buy a parcel of land, and the process is repeated until there is enough land for everyone. Britain’s ‘Building Societies’ probably had their origins in similar devices two hundred years ago. Members are not allowed to move onto the land - which is leased out meanwhile, often to local farmers - until the full amount of land has been bought, a device that helps to keep the group together for the long haul. This is very similar to the way a rickshaw ROSCA works, except that since the price of land changes over time, members cannot be certain, at the outset, of how long their club will need to last, and the number and size of contributions cannot be fixed in the way that they are in a true ROSCA.

ASCAs and their interest rates

Insurance ASCAs of the Dhaka fire insurance type are unusual among ASCAs in offering only one kind of swap - swapping savings for a pay-out. Most ASCAs offer two kinds of swap, as we saw in Rabeya’s case - saving up ahead of a pay-out, and borrowing ahead of repayment (we might say ‘saving down’). This means they have to make decisions about interest rates, including both the rate paid to savers and the rate charged to borrowers. ROSCAs don’t need to make such decisions, since they either ignore the issue (in merry-go-rounds and in lottery ROSCAs) or they allow rates to be set automatically by the bidding process (in auction ROSCAs). Before moving on to look at other ASCA types, it will be useful to discuss the issue of interest rates.

Well-meaning observers of savings clubs sometimes regard interest as, at best, a necessary evil. This is a mistake. Just as in ROSCAs the auction introduces a price mechanism that rewards savers and distributes cash to borrowers according to need, so in ASCAs interest rates can be used to manage rewards, prices and risk in ways that safeguard the interests of both savers and borrowers. The issue of interest rates is also important in determining the life-time of the ASCA, and whether it opts to be time-bound or not.

But just how are the rates to be set?

Well, Rabeya’s ASCA charged 5% a month for loans, which works out at an APR of 60%. That may sound high to people living in rich industrialised countries where the hope is that such high rates are a thing of the ill-managed past (although rates not so far short of this have been charged on credit-card debt, and are paid to loan-sharks by many low-income people).

Inflation makes it hard to compare interest rates across countries. ASCAs in high inflation countries have to charge more interest, to prevent their fund (and thus their members’ savings) from suffering a decline in value. But we can observe that in countries with moderate inflation rates - the South Asian and South-East Asian countries over the last thirty years, for example - ASCAs typically charge in the range of 3 to 8% a month, sometimes more. When I researched fifty of Dhaka’s groups in early 1996, a time when Bangladesh’s inflation rate was a modest 5% a year, I found none that charged less than 3% a month for loans, and one that charged an astonishing 20% a month (admittedly, that one wasn’t working well). But the single most common rate was 10% a month - half the sample had chosen that rate. In the Bangladeshi countryside, however, where opportunities to invest money profitably are much less than in busy Dhaka, and where loans are taken for consumption more often than for production, rates are much lower, falling mostly in the 3 - 5% a month bracket.

Interest rates on loans made by ASCAs affect the rate of growth and the absolute size of the club’s funds. It is not always appreciated just how sensitive are the size and growth of capital to small-sounding changes in the interest rate. Consider an ASCA with twenty-five members who agree to save $1 a month each. Obviously after the first meeting they will have a fund of $25, and after a year $300 ($25 x 12 months). Now imagine that the ASCA decides to ‘clear’ all funds each month - to insist that it is all lent out to its members, so that no money is sitting idle, or in the bank. If they decide to charge members 1% a month for these loans then their fund will have grown to $317\(^{17}\) by the end of the first year. By the end of the fifth year it will have grown to $2,042, and at the end of ten years it will stand at $5,751 (or $230 each, for a contribution of $120). Their money will almost have doubled.

However, if this club decides to settle on an interest rate at the low end of the range most commonly used by most poor-world ASCAs - 3% a month - it could look forward to a much faster growth rate. And if it followed Dhaka’s clubs and charged 10% a month, the ASCA will own (in theory) a mind-

\(^{17}\) Assumes interest is paid monthly at the meetings and is immediately lent out. These figures are all somewhat simplified, and are therefore accurate approximations. Readers with a lot of patience and a good spreadsheet can recalculate the exact figures.

xxx
bogglingly large fund after ten years. In the table below, which sets out these results, I have left one of the cells blank, to give you a chance to guess the answer before looking it up in the footnote.

Table one: ASCA of 25 members saving $1 a month each and keeping all cash out on loan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest rate</th>
<th>Capital after 1 year (after contributing $300 in savings)</th>
<th>Capital after 5 years (after contributing $1,500 in savings)</th>
<th>Capital after 10 years (after contributing $3,000 in savings)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zero</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% monthly</td>
<td>$317</td>
<td>$2,042</td>
<td>$5,751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3% monthly</td>
<td>$355</td>
<td>$4,076</td>
<td>$28,092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% monthly</td>
<td>$535</td>
<td>$75,870</td>
<td>See footnote 18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You will have noticed my cautious comment in parentheses - ‘in theory’ - and it may already be obvious to you why I had to include it. Arithmetic is one thing, real life another. In reality no club could sustain a policy of lending everything out to its members at 10% a month for a period of ten years. It would mean that each and every member, at the start of the tenth year, would have to hold a loan of $325,000, and be paying interest each month of $32,500. That is obviously quite unrealistic.

What then would we realistically expect to happen, as time goes by, to ASCAs that start off charging high rates of interest on loans? Six likely paths are immediately apparent. First, they might reduce the interest rate on loans as time goes by. Second, they might decrease the amount they save each month, or stop saving altogether. Third, they might store their excess cash in a bank instead of lending it out among themselves. Fourth, they could risk lending their money to outsiders, given that their own members’ appetite for loans at high prices would be quickly sated. Fifth, they might persevere with their high rate of interest, give out bigger and bigger loans, run into repayment problems, and collapse. Sixth, they might just stop, after a number of years, and share out the profits. Or, of course, they may take some combination of these paths.

These outcomes are indeed what we observe on the ground. I have seen examples of all six. But experience appears to have taught many people that the last - winding the club up after some time - is the best. To see why, we need to look at the disadvantages of the other options.

If the club chooses the first option, and voluntarily lowers its interest rate, that can only mean that its members are less hungry for loans than they were, so an important function of the club has already been achieved, and enthusiasm for it will wane. The same can be said of the second option - lowering the rate of savings or stopping savings altogether - and this option has another snag, because if the members are not saving regularly the repetitive actions on which trust is built become less effective because they occur less frequently. The interest that can be earned in a bank is miserly compared to the rates the members have got used to earning on their savings through their loans to each other, so the third option is not very attractive either. Lending to outsiders at high rates of interest - the fourth option - is rarely sustainable in the long run because of the risk of loan loss, especially if the group doing it is composed of poor and not very powerful people. Collapse is to be avoided at all costs, since it jeopardises each and every member’s investment, so the fifth option is unthinkable.

So when the immediate appetite for loans is satisfied, and fewer and fewer members are willing to pay high rates of interest to borrow, many such clubs become ‘time-bound’ (even if they hadn’t intended that at the start) and wrap up and distribute profits after a few years.

Managing risks and rewards

These decisions can be seen as having to do with managing risks and rewards - balancing likely gain against likely failure. All lending involves risk. We have seen a few ways in which ROSCAs reduce the risk of members running off with the pay-out before they’ve paid their share of subscriptions. ASCAs are more complex than ROSCAs (on average). For that reason, they generally need more paperwork, and better monitoring of members. But also for that reason, there is a bigger range of ways in which risk can be managed.

In an ASCA, fresh money (as opposed to repayments of loans) comes in from two sources - the members’ regular savings, and the interest they pay on any loans they take19. Setting interest rates

---

18 $23,177,017 - each member will have become almost a millionaire
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adjusts the proportion of total funds that come in from these two sources. Low interest rates on loans will mean that most fresh money comes from savings, whereas high interest rates will tilt the balance so that a bigger - and growing - proportion of fresh money is coming from interest paid on loans. The table (above) shows this quite clearly - at zero interest rates, all the fresh money comes from savings, whereas at 10% a month current income from interest payments exceeds that from savings after little more than a year.

Thus where interest rates are high, a bigger and growing proportion of loans will be sourced from the interest payments of the borrowers themselves, and less and less from those who save but choose not to borrow. This means that if an ASCA is composed, as many are, of people who want to borrow and others who are content to save, adopting a high interest rate policy will ensure that the borrowers largely finance their own loans. In the event of something going wrong, savers may lose their hoped-for profits but they have less capital at risk. This can be useful sometimes, as the following story shows.

**Initial-investment ASCAs**

In the hills of northern Philippines, as elsewhere in the country, the government encouraged user-owned financial services in the form of village-level co-operatives. Unfortunately, these were not always well conceived or run, and have had the result of undermining faith in all savings-based devices. People became reluctant to trust their savings to ASCAs and other forms of savings club. But the user-owned tradition is hard to kill off, and another form of ASCA has evolved. In this, members make only one initial investment, which is often quite small. These investments are pooled and lent out at a very high rate of interest (up to 10 or even 15% a month) to the member(s) most in need of cash. As those borrowers, and successive borrowers, repay their loans with interest, the fund grows quickly, and that growth is financed entirely from interest payments contributed by the borrowers. Those who contribute their initial investment but don't borrow can sit back and watch their investment grow - but they need to make their voices heard at club meetings to contain the risks of this high interest rate policy. In one ASCA that I looked at, they had done that by insisting that the club close down and distribute profits after three years. Even after so shot a time, at 15% a month, a saver who put in only an initial $1 could see her share of the capital multiply by 133 times. The diagram shows, on the left, what the cash flows are for a net saver in such a scheme, and on the right what the picture would be for a borrower.

What was going on here? Well, the collapse of confidence in 'saving up' (saving ahead of a lump sum) meant merely that people stopped saving up – it didn't mean that the need to save, which as we saw in the first chapter is unavoidable for the poor – had gone away. If people were no longer able to find places to store and grow savings until they accumulated into a usefully large lump sum, then they had to find some other way of swapping savings for lump sums. (Some other way, as I would say, of getting access to basic personal financial intermediation). This

---

19 Some clubs also have entry fees, fines for late attendance, etc

20 Many co-operatives in The Philippines do run well. The country has been an innovator in credit co-operatives
other way turned out to be the initial-investment ASCA, a device that reduces the need to ‘save up’ to the barest minimum, but forces members to ‘save down’ at very high rates after getting hold of their lump sums.

**Multiplication + reiteration versus growth + permanence**

ROSCAs and ASCAs offer hope and service to millions world-wide. But, we have discovered, not many of them are *permanent* institutions. All ROSCAs and, it turns out, many ASCAs, are time-bound, or end up with shorter lives than their members may have expected. Given that many modern writers on financial services for the poor are very concerned with the ‘*sustainability*’ of financial institutions for the poor, it is worth pondering this fact. Let us do that now, before moving on to look at one form of ASCA that does aim at permanence – the Credit Union.

We could say that the ‘strategy’ for reaching millions of people favoured by user-owned clubs (to adopt the intentionalist stance for time being) is ‘reach lots of people through multiplication, and serve them continuously through reiteration’. Millions of individual clubs (ROSCAs and ASCAs) are constantly springing up, closing down, or restarting. Formal institutions, such as Banks, are quite different; they aim to serve millions by adopting a strategy which might be described as ‘reach lots of people through growth and serve them continuously through permanence’.

We shall return to this point in Chapter Four, when we look at the differences between the new wave of ‘promoters’ and ‘providers’. But for now we shall raise the question, ‘is it possible to have a long-term or even permanent user-owned savings clubs?’.

**The Credit Union – a permanent ASCA**

Yes, it is, but it’s not easy. And if you’re poor, it’s least easy of all, for reasons not so different from those set out in the first chapter explaining why poor people find it hard to save at home. As time goes on, and your collective fund builds up, all the difficulties that confront you in running an ASCA have the unfortunate habit of getting more acute. More and more accountancy skills are needed. As the stakes rise (with more money in the pot) the members are more and more likely to quarrel over the rules and over book-keeping errors. As immediate borrowing needs are met, members are less likely to take all the available cash out on loan and more cash has to be stored. This is itself risky - if it’s left with the Cashier she might abuse it. If it’s put in the bank that means more book-keeping, more work and lower interest earnings. As others in the slum or the village learn that your fund has now grown into a substantial sum so it attracts more attention and thus becomes more vulnerable to theft or to more subtle attempts to get a share of it. No wonder a common response to these conditions is to say, ‘OK, let’s divvy up before things go wrong, and those of us who want to carry on can get together and start a new one’.

Yet there are examples of successful savings clubs that remain owned by their users, have a long life and become permanent institutions. They are all in one way or other members of a group of ASCAs called variously ‘Credit Unions’ (CUs) or ‘Savings and Credit Co-operatives’ or ‘Thrift and Loan Co-operatives’. To become permanent, such clubs require to be linked to a higher body that solves the set of problems set out in the previous paragraph. These higher bodies supervise and regulate the CUs, ensuring compliance with a clear set of rules. They offer CUs financial services that solve the problem of how and where to store surplus savings funds: this is often done in the context of an ‘interlending’ function that transfers cash from (usually older) cash-rich CUs to (usually younger) cash-needy ones. They may also offer insurance, especially insurance which relieves the heirs of members of any debt arising from a loan that is outstanding at death. Finally, they offer CUs legal registration, protection and representation and are able to lobby on their behalf with the authorities.

Because this set of tasks requires skills that demand education, fully-fledged systems of Credit Unions have rarely been owned exclusively by the poor. The poor have more often been members of CUs run by the educated middle classes. Happily, a number of CU higher bodies around the world are now taking a fresh look at how they can better serve poorer groups. My rather brief description of Credit Unions can be supplemented with the literature that these bodies produce (listed in the bibliography).

---

21 As it happens, northern Filipinos found another way of addressing the problem. This is the *ubbu-tungngul* – a device so intriguing that it gets its own description in the last section of this chapter.
With their several ‘levels’, with their formal registration with Co-operative Registries set up by
governments, with their permanent salaried staffs administering interlending and insurance schemes,
and with their links with formal banks, Credit Unions are clearly very different from the neighbourhood
schemes like Rabeya’s ‘Fund’. In many ways they more resemble the ‘managers’ that we’re going to
look at in the next chapter. In the last analysis, I believe they belong in this section, with the ASCAs,
because, essentially, they remain user-owned savings clubs – entities that manage their own affairs
as opposed to organisations that manage clubs for others.

Postscript: The ubbu-tungngul

The chapter finishes with a curiosity. As I warned earlier, some financial service devices are hard to
classify. The ubbu-tungngul of northern Philippines is an example. Though it has many characteristics
in common with the ROSCA it is not a true ROSCA because contributions vary across members and
across time.

In the first chapter we mentioned that ‘reciprocal’ lending between neighbours is perhaps the most
common form of informal financial transaction between poor people - I borrow a few cents from
you today and on some other day you borrow a similar (but not necessarily identical) amount from
me. If we wished we could do this on a regular basis: on the first day of each month I might borrow
from you whatever loose cash you had on you that day, and on the fifteenth of each month you
could borrow from me whatever I had available that day. But that doesn’t sound very useful, does it?
Still, suppose I had a similar one-to-one relationship with many people, not just with you. I could
agree with all of them that on the first day of the first month they all lend me some cash - in different
amounts depending on what they had on them. That would be useful, because the combined
amount would amount to something substantial - it would be a ‘usefully large lump sum’. Then I’d
promise to pay them back, one by one, at fifteen day intervals. If there were ten of us then after five
months I would have paid them all back and fifteen days later it would again be my turn to receive.
If you’ve followed my explanation so far, you’ll be able to see that each of the ten of us could in turn,
every fifteen days, be the ‘receiver’ (of nine small varied amounts that add up to a large amount).
On all other occasions each would be a ‘repayer’, giving a small amount to just one of the other
nine.

What we would have would be something that looks superficially like a ROSCA but is more flexible, in
that it allows me to vary my payments according to what I have available each ‘round’. Of course, I
have an incentive to put in as much as I can, so as to receive as much as I can when it’s my turn.
Keen ubbu-tungngulers might argue that this flexibility has the effect of raising the total amount
transacted, since people don’t have to limit themselves to putting in only what they are sure of being
able to afford each and every round. The ubbu-tungngul shares this virtue with SafeSave.

Here is our diagram showing the ubbu-tungngul from the point of view of an individual member:

![Chart ten: The ubbu-tungngul](chart.png)

Each member is conducting
a unique set of private deals
with each of the other
members, so why bother to
go to the trouble of doing it in
public at a regular meeting?
Well, in part precisely because
it is public and regular. Doing
deals in public provides a
public record of the deal,
which can be useful if a
dispute occurs. Doing it
regularly provides that
discipline which, as we saw in
Chapter One, is so important
to maximise savings,
especially if the amounts
saved are not fixed. But doing several deals on the same day with a variety of partners also ensures
something else - that the biggest possible ‘usefully large sum’ can be assembled at one time.
So we are back where we started - financial services for the poor are ways of helping the poor to enjoy the discipline and opportunity to maximise their savings and turn them into usefully large lump sums.

Concluding remarks about savings clubs will be included with comments on the informal sector at the end of the next chapter.

Chapter Three:  
Using the informal sector: managers and providers

Managers and providers

Some organisations manage savings clubs for other people. They often do it rather well, and are able to manage longer-term 'swaps' than simple user-owned clubs like ROSCAs and ASCAs. There are of course also many informal financial service providers, such as deposit collectors, pawnbrokers, and moneylenders, who deal with individual clients (on the whole) and usually charge for their services.

The chapter is organised into two sections, the first on the Managers and the second dealing with Providers. For a reminder of my overall classification of do-it-yourself and informal financial services for poor people, please refer to the opening page of the previous chapter.

1 The Managers

Permanent organisations whose main business is not financial services may nevertheless manage savings clubs on behalf of their members. Their status may allow them to do this rather well. Some commercial operators specialise in running ROSCAs for the general public.

I am using the term 'managers' to describe organisations that are not themselves savings clubs, but manage clubs for others. We start with welfare-oriented organisations that manage ASCAs, and end with commercial outfits that run ROSCAs. All the examples come from southern India.

Managed 'Funds' – the Annual Savings Clubs of Cochin

In the city of Kerala, in the southern Indian state of Kerala, you will find many slum-dwellers enrolled in clubs that closely resemble Rabeya’s Fund ASCA. They save set sums on a weekly basis (multiples of ten rupees) and do so for exactly a year. They may, if they wish, take a loan from the fund as it builds up, and many do. These loans are priced at 4% a month and must be repaid before the year end.

We don’t need to make a fresh diagram since it would look exactly the same as the one we drew for Rabeya in Chapter One.

The difference is that the Cochin’s ASCAs (which are called, confusingly, Annual Savings Clubs, or ASCs) are not owned and run by their users in the way that Rabeya and her fellow members own and manage their Fund. Instead, they are owned and run by churches (Kerala has a big Christian population), temples, mosques, and trades organisations, who establish and run them on behalf of their congregations and constituents.

Compared to Rabeya’s members, people who use these ASCs have less control over them. They can’t easily vary the interest rate, and they have little control over the membership. But there are important compensations for this. For one thing, the management tasks, including crucially the vital tasks of keeping the accounts straight and chasing up non-payers, are done by others – parish or temple priests or welfare association members doing it as part of their duties, sometimes backed up by permanent paid staffs. For another, the process of ‘institutionalisation’ that we noted at work in Dhaka reaches spectacular heights in Cochin: in every slum that Sukhwinder and I visited, the rates were the same. You got 600 rupees back for each ten-rupees-per-week saved, and loans cost 4% a month.

Permanent welfare organisations confer another advantage when they manage ASCAs for other people. We saw in the previous section that there are many good reasons why most true user-owned
ASCAs and ROSCAs are short-lived. This makes it hard for their members to save up for long-term needs. When they do try to serve such needs they are often forced to offer a very simple service, as we saw in the case of the fire insurance ASCAs of Dhaka, which can’t lend their fund out because they need it at hand in case there’s a fire. ‘Managers’, on the other hand, as a result of their greater size and permanence, are much better placed to serve long-term needs.

Managing long-term needs - the ‘marriage fund’

I have in my collection a simple passbook printed in the rounded text of Malayalam, the language of Kerala. It is issued by a local fisherfolk’s ‘Development Welfare Co-operative Society’ just outside Cochin, and is for their ‘Sadhbhavana Marriage Aid Fund’. The first few pages set out the rules with admirable clarity.

The unmarried men women and children of the fishing community can join the scheme, or have relatives join in their name. In practice parents and grandparents commonly take out membership in the names of their infants. They choose a fixed regular payment - let us say the equivalent of $1 each week - and start saving. These savings build up and are released back to the saver when he or she marries, along with a dividend worth exactly the amount saved to date (providing that at least three years have gone by). In the meantime, if the savers need access to their savings for some reason, they can take and repay a loan from the fund that is building up.

Such schemes provide savers with a place to store savings over the long term, guarantee them a lump sum to get back at a time when they’re sure to need it, and provide them a bonus too. On top of this they offer their members the chance to take a loan when they need it. Below I have redrawn our diagram to see how one saver might use such a club. I have assumed that he (or she) joined the club at the age of nine and married at 17, and took two loans during that time.

CHART ELEVEN: SOUTH INDIA MARRIAGE FUND

How can the Society be so generous? After all, if I join and save $100 and get married after three years, they will have to pay me $100 as a dividend. That’s an interest rate of 67% a year! But of course very few people marry three years after joining the club. Do the arithmetic again assuming that the average marriage takes place nine years after joining the scheme. The saver still gets double what he put in, and of course he’s put in much more, so the bonus is now a huge $300. The saver is happy - but the rate at which he has earned interest has come down to just over 22% a year. That is less than 2% a month. So if the Society lends out the savings funds at 4% a month (as many do) it has a good ‘margin’ to cover its costs and any losses, even if it doesn’t lend out the whole of its fund all the time.

What about those unlucky enough (or lucky enough) not to get married? Well, the Society has a rule that at 35 years of age you can take back your savings even if you remain single - provided you’ve

22 I have been saving weekly, so my average deposit over the three years is $50 (half the total I put in). On that $50 I’m paid interest of $100, or 200%. 200% over three years is equivalent to 66.6% over one year.
been saving for three years. So if you started saving aged nine, by thirty-five you'll get a big pay-out, no doubt, but the Society will have paid you less than 8% a year in interest.

**Managing insurance needs: burial funds**

In a marriage fund, you save up for an event that is very likely to happen, and you don’t get your money back until that event happens (or you get to be 35). In other words, the pay-out is contingent on a named event, and the fund is clearly offering a kind of insurance. Managed ASCAs can address these insurance needs more successfully than user-owned ones. Alongside its marriage funds the Cochin-based fisherfolk’s co-operative societies offer ‘burial funds’. These are even more obviously an insurance device. Some are time-bound and some are not. The non time-bound version works similarly to the marriage fund, with a fund that grows over time and with loan entitlements attached. The time-bound version, however, is a little different and works like this.

As a member of an annual burial fund, I agree to pay a fixed sum each week for a year. If I or anyone else in my family - dies during the year, the next-of-kin gets an immediate no-questions-asked pay-out, which is also a fixed amount. At the end of the year the books are closed. If the total weekly payments collected have exceeded the total pay-outs (which is normally the case) then the balance is re-distributed back to the members. If the total is less than the pay-out, members are asked to share the cost of making up the deficit.

When Sukhwinder and I investigated these burial funds, we found that the managers - often church or welfare society officers - made sure that each fund had at least 300 subscribers. With a smaller number, the fund was prone to run out of cash if there was an above-average number of deaths in the first few weeks. Given that one subscription covered everyone in the family (the member, his wife, husband, father, mother, unmarried children, unmarried brother and sister, dependants, etc.; it says in the Sadhbhavana rules) we were puzzled as to how the clubs could calculate the likely number of deaths (the ‘actuarial’ analysis). The answer was, by experience. Many churches, clubs, temples, mosques, and trade associations have run such funds over many years, and the ratios have been learnt over time. The ratio is 1:500 - for every 1 rupee contributed per week there would be a pay-out per death of 500 rupees. This ratio cropped up over and over again as we went round asking about different funds – another example of what I have called ‘institutionalisation’.

As we have seen, the total contributions were normally found to have exceeded the total pay-outs, and this was intentional. For the managers, it is easier to give back excess cash than collect a shortfall. And giving back cash has another healthy effect: it gives the members the impression that the club was well run, and puts members in a good mood (and provides them with a little cash) to make their first subscription for the following year.

At that time in Cochin, the minimum weekly pay-in for a burial fund was 2 rupees, or about 4 cents US. If that was too little for you, you simply took out two or three or more memberships (or shares). Because the minimum was set so low, we found that these burial funds reached a poorer group of clients than any other savings club or financial service in Cochin. In some slums it was hard to find people who weren’t members of a burial fund. Fear of imposing a financial burden on the family at the time of death is very common among the elderly poor - especially widows - all over the world, and this simple device much assuages that anxiety.

Here is our diagram again, this time adjusted to describe the time-bound burial club. We have shown eight years, with a death occurring during the eighth year. As in the previous diagram, for presentation simplicity the weekly payments have been shown in quarterly summaries.

---

23 The parents of babies who die within three months of birth don’t benefit, and the pay-out for minors over three months is half that of adults. Paying fifteen rupees per thousand per year for life assurance (this was our calculation of the effective cost of such schemes for a six-person household) is expensive relative to the big government-run insurance companies (life assurance remains a public monopoly in India). But the government companies do not turn up at the bereaved household on the very day of the death bearing the cash, a bunch of flowers, and neighbourhood sympathy.

24 That is, not just in Hindu societies.
Managed ROSCAs

Mary ran her own merry-go-round with fourteen friends. But we noticed that in Dhaka most ROSCAs have an informal manager of some sort, and this is the more normal case. It is not a very long step from that to having specialists who run ROSCAs for other people on a professional fee-paid basis. It hasn't happened in Bangladesh yet (as far as I know) but it is very well established indeed in India, above all in the south. Sometimes a slum-dweller or a middle class resident will run these 'chits' (as they are known) for their neighbours on an informal but for-profit basis. But pawnbroking goldsmiths and, above all, specialist 'Chit Houses' run them under licence from the State government. They initiate the chit (the ROSCA) and take the responsibility to find the members - who may not and probably don't know each other. They then ensure that the contributions are made on time. These are all auction chits, so the company also arranges the auction at its offices. They earn a fee from the auction winners as they take their lump sums. The chit company itself doesn't put up any capital - its contribution is the management skills and the willingness to take a risk, since some members may try to evade their responsibility to pay.

In the big cities, like Chennai or Hyderabad, where there are thousands of chit houses, the poor and the very poor are not the principal customers. These chits are usually 'bigger' than they can afford - with monthly contributions of thousands of rupees. But many middle-class Indians use commercial chits to buy a lump sum quickly, perhaps to part-finance a house purchase or a marriage. They find it easier than going to a bank. There is also a class of professional chit ‘investor’ - people who ‘play’ the chits to extract the biggest income from them. They do this by judging the best time to bid. The ‘best time’ will be a trade off between leaving their cash in the kitty as long as possible so that they gain the biggest discounts for the smallest bids, and taking it out quickly so as to move their money into a more profitable home. In this way chits compete with other forms of investment such as the Mumbai stock exchange, and I have been told by chit managers that the amount of money flowing into chits can be correlated with the fluctuations of Mumbai's exchange index.
4 The Providers

Some informal financial services ‘providers’ offer deposit-taking: many others offer a bewildering variety of loans. All allow the poor build usefully large lump sums out of their savings. Most providers prefer to deal with individuals, and most charge for their services, even though they are not always driven by the profit motive. In general, urban services are more professional, more precise and more disciplined than rural services.

There are many kinds of informal financial service provision, and this section doesn’t aim to list them all. Instead, I have classified them into four categories, and in discussing them I shall try to illustrate the particular version of basic personal financial intermediation that each of them provides. The first category is deposit collection (like Jyothi’s work in chapter one). The other three are all advances of one sort or another. The second category offers advances against savings, like the urban moneylender described in the first chapter. The third and fourth offer advances against assets - existing assets in the case of pawn and mortgage (category three), and future assets in the case of crop advances (category four). Thus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Four types of informal providers:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Deposits           Deposit collection the collection and storage of savings deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, 3 and 4 Advances    Advances against a flow of cash deposits a lump sum given in return for a series of small sums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Advances against assets pawnbroking and mortgaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Advance sale of produce a lump sum repaid from the crop harvest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that these are types of provision, not types of provider. Many providers offer more than one service.

Deposit collectors

Jyothi’s service, described in chapter one, illustrates the basic need for deposit collection and how that need can be met by an informal provider. We don’t need to add much here. Such services are widespread, though not universal. Although our example came from India, deposit collectors appear to be much more common in Africa, above all West Africa, than in Asia, for reasons that are not well understood. Perhaps they are still on their way, and most Asians will have to wait a few more years.

In West Africa deposit collectors are found in rural as well as urban settings, and their recent growth in Nigeria demonstrates how seriously they are taken as a direct competitor to formal savings banks. There, alajos, as male bicycle-mounted daily deposit collectors are called, have seen their business grow as more and more formal banks have got into trouble. Like Jyothi the alajo uses the discipline of a set saving sum, normally in the range of 50 to 75 cents per day. His way of collecting his fee is also designed to encourage his clients to save regularly, since he charges one day’s deposit per month, irrespective of how many times the client deposits. Thus for the clients the more they deposit the lower the fee as a proportion of the cash handled. But unlike Jyothi, he allows his clients to withdraw whenever they like. In this his service is more flexible, more like SafeSave’s. Alajo clients are well served: they are given a daily inducement to save backed by a daily opportunity to withdraw. This is basic personal financial intermediation in a very pure form. Clients seem to like it: asked about the inherent risk of using a dishonest alajo, they are reported to have remarked that many banks - whose services are in some way inferior to the alajo’s - are dishonest, too.
Advances against a flow of deposits

Some alajos store the cash they collect in (reliable) banks, while others offer cash advances to their clients. In this latter service they resemble the urban moneylender described in Chapter One and, with him, illustrate our second category of informal provider.

The urban moneylender has his rural equivalent, though in many countries there are interesting differences, in both the services offered and the providers themselves. Here, we'll look at two of these differences.

Urban moneylenders are more likely to insist on a regular periodic flow of repayments (though by no means all do so), as in the case of Ramalu’s moneylender illustrated in Chapter One. This may be because city incomes, even for the very poor, are often small but frequent, so that clients are more able to repay advances both from savings out of income as it comes in, and savings out of regular expenditure as it goes out. The moneylender can tap the rickshaw driver’s daily income as well as his wife’s housekeeping. In the countryside this is less often the case, because incomes for small farmers are more ‘lumpy’ (are received in bigger sums at less frequent intervals). Rural moneylenders are therefore more willing to let borrowers repay irregularly and infrequently.

They are more able to do this because many rural areas remain much less anonymous than the cities. The moneylender in the village is more likely to know the borrower intimately, and to know the borrower’s family. As a result, he has less need of the discipline of regular instalments to ensure that the advance gets repaid.

This leads us to the second common difference between the urban and the rural scene. Though there are many casual loans given and taken in the slums, the urban moneylender is rather more likely than his rural counterpart to be a professional, deriving a part if not all of his income from his moneylending services. The rural equivalent is much more likely to be a part-timer. Rural moneylenders tend to be formal salary-earners (both active and retired-with-a-pension), traders, and middle or larger-scale farmers. Few earn the majority of their income from moneylending. Many would rather not lend money at all, but do it out of a sense of obligation. It is very hard for a moderately well-to-do villager to refuse a loan to a very poor relative or neighbour whose child has fallen ill or who has nothing to eat in the house. This does not mean that there are no rapacious rural moneylenders – there are, and there is a reference to some literature on such people in the bibliography.

A typical rural situation is illustrated by a moneylending couple that I have been visiting regularly in northern Vietnam for five years. An educated couple, shrewder and more ambitious than most of their neighbours, they own and run a shop in a small village in a remote mountainous area. Their shop has been expanding gradually and they have added other small enterprises to it. They have always lent out money, but as their own fortunes have gone up and down they have done so with more or less enthusiasm. Twice they have told me that moneylending is a fool’s game, too risky to be worth while, and that they’re about to give it up. At other times they told me that their loans are doing well. They prefer to lend modest sums (not more than $300) to other prosperous villagers engaged in developing assets like fish ponds or orchards. They also lend much smaller sums, reluctantly, to the poor. In each case they lend only to those well known to them. They charge 6% a month, but claim to have taken losses on loans to both kinds of borrower. They don’t have set repayment intervals, and are obliged to chase borrowers and take whatever repayments can be had at whatever time. Their transactions are part of the rural conventions. Whereas professional urban moneylenders offer a unambiguous financial service, much rural moneylending is a ‘service’ only in the extended sense in which we can say that petty borrowing and lending among family and neighbours constitutes a ‘service’. Rural moneylending can be basic personal financial intermediation at its most diffuse.

Advances against assets

The most common forms of advance against an asset are pawnbroking in the towns and land mortgage in the countryside - though of course just about any asset can be pledged as security for a loan.

25 Imran Matin has pointed out to me, on the basis of his work in rural Bangladesh, that many informal rural lenders don’t like to take their loans back in small instalments, because they think they’ll waste them on trivial expenditure. Like their clients, they too prefer ‘usefully large lump sums’. Such moneylenders may indeed be lending money out to their safer borrowers as a profitable way of storing savings.
Urban pawnbrokers prefer to lend against precious metals. A typical pawnbroker in non-Muslim South Asia, for example, will work from a goldsmith's or silversmith's shop and will lend against gold, silver and brass. As a smith he will have the skill and the chemicals to test the metals for their value. He will have a different interest rate for each metal. A customer taking a gold ornament to the pawnbroker will expect to receive around two-thirds the market value of the gold, and for each month he holds the loan he can expect to pay 3% of the loaned amount in interest. For a loan against a silver 'pledge' he might pay 5% a month, and 9% for one against brass. In India brass is a popular metal for cooking pots, so many poorer families can get a quick loan against their kitchen utensils. Perhaps for this reason some pawnbrokers now take aluminium pledges: aluminium is rapidly replacing brass as the favourite metal for cooking pots.

Speed is an important characteristic of urban pawning. Unlike advancing money against a flow of savings, an advance against a physical object requires no prior knowledge of the customer, so the deal can be struck on the spot with a stranger (though the sensible pawnbroker needs to feel confident he's not accepting stolen goods). This anonymity is another advantage of pawning, from the customer's point of view, since the neighbours don't need to know about the reason for the pawn, which might be embarrassing.

Speed and anonymity are enough to ensure that pawnbroking will remain popular, even in those countries whose governments have driven it underground by banning it. But as a basic personal financial intermediation service it has snags, too. As we remarked in the first chapter, pawning is of use only to those who have something to pawn, so the size of the transaction is limited by the value of assets the customer already holds. Moreover, unless you have a particularly friendly pawnbroker, you have to take the whole of the loaned amount back to him to get your asset back, so to amass that sum you may need another basic personal financial intermediation device, such as a deposit collector or moneylender, to build up that sum from your flow of savings. If you can't do this, you run the risk of losing the asset entirely.

When the pawnbroker takes the pledged gold ornament from his customer he doesn't use it: he possesses and stores it until the customer reclaims it or until it is clear that the customer isn't going to reclaim it. In that event the pawnbroker sells it or melts it down and uses it as raw material in his smithy. In the countryside, when land or assets are given as security (a 'mortgage') for a loan, there are various combinations of use and possession. Sometimes merely an 'interest' in land is 'conveyed' to the mortgagee (the creditor), while the mortgagor (the landowner who becomes the debtor) continues to use it, just as a house-buyer in the west lives in the house he has mortgaged to the company that gave him a loan to buy the house. More commonly, the mortgagor takes over and uses the land, though he might choose instead to claim a share of its produce. If the asset is not land but - for example - a tree, or even a cow, the fruits of the tree or the young or milk of the cow may belong to the mortgagee for the duration of the deal. The variations are endless.

From the point of view of poor mortgagors, land mortgage suffers from similar drawbacks as pawning - it is limited by the value of the assets already in their possession, and carries the risk of losing them forever. It is difficult to amass the sum of money required to redeem the asset, and simple devices to help the poor do this (such as those provided by deposit collectors or cash moneylenders) are in short supply in the villages, as we have seen. Then again, because of the legal procedures involved the deal can take a long time to conclude, and the illiterate poor are put at a particular disadvantage and can be cheated. As with advances against savings, rural conditions for advances against assets are generally less accessible to the rural than to the urban poor, and the devices available are less precise, less quick, and less reliable. We have to conclude that as examples of basic personal financial intermediation rural mortgage is less than optimum. Nevertheless, asset mortgage is commonly practised in many villages world-wide, suggesting that the supply of more convenient financial services remains inadequate. Despite this, it is not always the case that the poor are mortgagors dealing with exploitative wealthy mortgagees, as the example of the kat deal in Bangladesh reveals. A kat is an open-ended land mortgage in which the mortgagee, in return for a lump sum, enjoys the use of the land until and unless the mortgagor returns the sum in full. It is often used by middle-income rural families to get out of farming and into

---

26 Some governments have un-banned it. The Sri Lankan government, noting the success of private pawnbroking, has gone into the business on its own account, and set up shops around the country. It is said that this has increased competition and brought down the cost of the service.
some off-farm business - perhaps a small shop in the nearby town or in the capital. They raise capital by concluding kat agreements with a number of poorer families amongst whom they parcel out their land. A poor family who can raise a bit of capital - often through joining one of the new wave of rural providers that we shall examine in the next chapter - can use the deal to get access to land on the long term.

**Advance sale of produce**

A poor farming family needing cash to get them through the growing season can, in many countries, raise money against the expected harvest. For many such families, this is the most common kind of financial transaction they get involved in. It is often common enough to have a special name and for regions to have standardised prices. In some parts of Indonesia, for example, it is called ijon. In southern Bangladesh I observed that for many years in the 1980s the standard practice was for the lender to take one mon of paddy (about a hundredweight) for each 100 taka borrowed. Given a growing season of five months and a post-harvest market value for paddy of about 200 taka per mon, this represents an interest rate in the order of 20% a month. In such deals it is not that the loan is given specifically for crop input costs. The family that takes the loan might use it for seed and pesticide and fertiliser, but they are just as likely to use it to feed themselves while the crop is in the ground, having exhausted their reserves investing in the planting. They might also use it in some other way, such as the life-cycle needs like marriage that we listed in the first chapter. In terms of basic personal financial intermediation these arrangements represent an extreme case. The lump sum is taken for any of the normal needs, but is matched not by a flow of repayments made out of savings, but by one single large act of saving made out of the family's largest single lump of income - the harvest.

The provider of the advance might be any one of the set of moneyed villagers that we listed when we looked at rural moneylenders - salaried people, pensioners, bigger farmers, traders, and so on. But he might be a paddy trader, and he might use the advance to further his business. Such arrangements can lead to long strings of lenders-and-borrowers. I looked at this phenomenon when I investigated the dadon system in southern Bangladesh, through which prawn production is financed. There, a poor villager with a prawn pond may accept an advance against his future production of prawns from a small prawn trader who thereby extracts a promise from the borrower that he will sell all his prawns at an agreed price to the lender. That small trader may himself be indebted with similar conditions to a bigger trader in the nearby town who may be indebted to a major wholesaler at the export port where the freezing plants are located, and he in his turn may be in debt..... and so on up to wealthy absentee financiers with mansions in the more expensive parts of the capital. Such systems illustrate the complexity of informal finance, and hint at its importance in national economic life. They take us away from basic personal financial intermediation, however, and will not be pursued further in this essay.

**Conclusion**

This chapter and the previous one have demonstrated the wide variety of ways in which the basic financial intermediation needs of poor people, who do not have access to formal services, have been met within the informal (including the 'do-it-yourself') sector. But apart from satisfying our curiosity, how does this knowledge help us in the task of setting up more and better financial services for poor people?

It helps in three main ways:

First, it shows how patchy is the distribution of services available within the informal sector. Some slum dwellers and villagers enjoy services that are quite unavailable to their counterparts in neighbouring countries, or even within the same country or district. Geographically, then, financial services for the poor still have a lot of territory to cover.

Second, it shows the extent to which informal services have been able to react to specific differentiated financial service needs. But these too are patchily distributed: in any one city or village some poor people will have access to deposit services, others to basic loan services, others to insurance services, others to several different kinds of services at once - and some to no services whatsoever. In terms of product variety, then, financial services for the poor still have a long way to go.
Thirdly, it shows that the informal sector has already reached levels of sophistication in handling technical issues such as the setting of interest rates. In many cases, this level of sophistication is well beyond anything achieved so far by the recent wave of new organisations aiming to provide services to the poor.
Those new organisations are themselves the subject of the next chapter. Please read on.

Chapter Four:
New ways to manage money: promoters and providers

Promoters and Providers: the semi-formal sector

There is now a large and growing number of organisations interested in selling banking services to poor people, or helping poor people to set up their own services.

The first chapter showed that the poor need financial services, especially of the basic sort that helps them swap their savings for lump sums of cash. Some poor people already enjoy access to such services, and the second and third chapters showed us how they do it - mainly by running their own savings clubs of one sort of another, or by using informal managers and providers. Such systems have a long history, but they are still very much in use and many appear to be growing in number, spreading from place to place, and evolving vigorously.

Savings clubs and informal managers and providers dominate the market in financial services for the poor. Formal banks or other formal institutions have, until recently, largely ignored the poor. However, the poor have never been left entirely to their own devices. There has always been public concern at the antics of the crueler moneylenders (think of Shylock in Shakespeare’s play The Merchant of Venice). There has also been a long history of official worrying about poor debtors. Britain’s colonial administrators regularly fretted about them and in many colonies introduced legislation against ‘usury’ (exploitative moneylending). There was a partial shift from a ‘moral’ to a ‘development’ motivation after the second World War, when many governments and donors devised rural credit schemes designed not only to protect the poor from moneylenders, but to assist them to adopt new farming techniques. Much of this effort had disappointing results.

But from the 1970s onwards newer forms of ‘pro-poor banking’ have been devised. By the mid-1990s there were enough of them, and they were receiving enough attention from aid agencies and governments, to hold an expensive international get-together in Washington (DC)\(^\text{27}\) to publicise their work, attract yet more support, share ideas, and set targets. Together, they constitute what we may call the ‘semi-formal’ sector. Some are beginning to call themselves ‘microfinance institutions’ (MFIs); others remain NGOs or government agencies.

This chapter is about their work. It begins by placing them on a continuum with promoters at one end and providers at the other. ‘Promoters’ are those who help the poor set up their own poor-owned or poor-managed systems, while ‘providers’ are those who sell financial services to the poor.

The chapter is divided into two parts each focussing on one of these two ends of the continuum. In the middle of the chapter comes a discussion of a type - the ‘Village Bank’ - that falls mid-way along the continuum.

The Promoters

Why not help poor people who don’t yet run ROSCAs or savings clubs by telling them about the idea, and helping them to get a club going?

When we looked at user-owned-and-managed clubs in the second chapter we discovered a thriving set of self-help devices distributed unevenly across geographical areas and among social groups. Wouldn’t it be a good idea to carry the idea of such clubs to poor districts, or to groups of

\(^{27}\) The ‘MicroCredit Summit’ in February 1996
poor people who are not yet familiar with them? Indeed, could we not go a stage further, and get actively involved in showing the poor how to set up and run such clubs?

Certainly, some governments and many non-government organisations active in development (the so-called NGOs) are now busy promoting savings clubs among the poor. From a slow start in the late 1970s their work has built up so that by now we can measure the number of members in such clubs by the hundreds of thousands. In this part of the chapter we shall look at two large-scale efforts to do this, one in India, where NGOs are fond of setting up what they call ‘Self Help Groups’ (SHGs), and another, started in Latin America, where voluntary organisations promote ‘Village Banks’. By spreading the idea of savings groups in this way NGOs are bringing the benefits of basic personal financial intermediation to many poor people, and sowing the seeds of its penetration into many more households.

The Indian ‘Self Help Groups’

In many respects the savings groups that Indian NGOs promote are similar to the ASCA type that we looked at in the second chapter. Typically, they have a membership of between a dozen and thirty. Their members are drawn from the same neighbourhood and meet regularly, sometimes weekly or fortnightly, most commonly monthly. At each meeting each member contributes a savings deposit: sometimes this is a fixed sum which is the same for each member and for each meeting, sometimes it varies. Usually (but not always) members cannot withdraw their savings. As the fund builds up it is lent back to members, who repay according to a fixed periodical instalment plan or, less often, in a lump sum at the end of a term. In most SHGs interest is charged on the loans. Sometimes this income is ploughed back into the common fund, sometimes it is paid to members as interest on their savings, or as a ‘dividend’ (a share of the profits).

In other respects these NGO-promoted groups are rather different from the truly indigenous ASCA. For one thing, many are composed only of women, whereas ordinary (unassisted) savings clubs, as we have seen, are often of mixed composition. Secondly, leadership of the group tends to revolve annually, with the Chair stepping down and a replacement being elected to take her place, whereas unassisted groups often have an informal manager who is unlikely to be changed during the lifetime of the group. Thirdly, the average level of interest charged on loans is lower than in unassisted groups. Fourthly, SHGs tend to have a number of objectives, of which turning savings into lump sums may not be the most important. Women’s empowerment, poverty reduction, leadership development, ‘awareness raising’ (about issues deemed to be important for the poor), business growth, or even family planning or the development of group-based businesses may be seen as the main work of the group. This contrasts strongly with unassisted groups (ASCAs and ROSCAs) who normally come together unambiguously to find a way of creating lump sums out of small deposits. Fifthly, the promoters may lend money to their SHGs or help and encourage them to take loans from banks, whereas unassisted groups generally rely on their own money, using banks - if at all - as a place to store excess funds. Finally, these SHGs struggle to become permanent, whereas unassisted groups, as we have seen, find many sound reasons to close their groups and start up another one.

Promoter preferences

What causes these differences? They can be attributed to the fact that the aims of most promoters (and, crucially, their backers - the donors) are not the same as those of most poor people who set up a savings club. They are much more complex. Unassisted groups just want to turn small sums into large ones in as quick and convenient a way as possible. Promoter-NGOs have a much grander vision. They are development organisations, and have come to SHGs from a social development background, rather than from a financial service perspective. So in reality it is not that they have said ‘savings clubs like ASCAs and ROSCAs are a good idea - let’s spread the idea around to other poor people’. They have said something more like ‘we want to develop and empower the poor in many different ways: these savings clubs may be a good way of getting the poor together to work on that’. SHGs are sometimes described as ‘entry points’ to social and political development. This perspective explains the six differences between NGO-promoted SHGs and unassisted groups that we listed above. Most SHGs are composed of women because in the world of development in the last twenty years it has come to be accepted that women have been neglected by ‘development’, and modern donors and NGOs are making a determined attempt to reverse this. The annually revolving leadership of SHGs can be attributed to the development world’s interest in ‘leadership development’ - the belief that the poor may make gains if they can train leaders who
can press their case with officials and others who have influence over their lives. The lower interest rates reflect a widespread belief that interest is inherently suspect, and high rates of interest exploitative. This view is inherited from the old colonial preoccupation with usury. The development industry is having a hard time coming to grips with the power and usefulness of the judicious use of interest. Many would rather not think about the problem, and in the meantime are anxious to keep rates as low as possible. Several staff members of promoting NGOs have said to me, 'if the SHG members are going to pay high rates of interest, they might just as well stick with the moneylenders and not form a group at all'. It is for them especially that I have written Chapter Two.

Some but not all promoters are in favour of SHGs accessing external funds. But many who do lend to ‘their’ groups, or help the groups get access to bank funds, do so from a mix of motives. In some cases promoters have simply underestimated the power of regular savings to build up capital. This may be compounded by an equally naïve underestimation of the poor’s capacity to save. A story illustrates this. Several times I visited SHGs in lower-middle income areas of Indian towns and expressed surprise at the tiny amounts the members were saving. The accompanying officer from the NGO would say ‘they are very poor - they can’t afford to save more than 20 rupees a month’. But after some further investigation it emerged that almost all the members were also involved in ROSCAs or managed-chits, putting in average sums each month of 200 rupees or more per member.

Some NGOs are keen for their members to become involved in setting up new businesses, or expanding new ones, and they see access to external finance as an indispensable part of that process. If they have selected the group membership carefully, so that it really is a group of budding entrepreneurs, this may be a sensible course of action, though experience shows that it needs much careful nurturing. Other NGOs, taking a broader view, see linking SHGs to banks as part of an effort to bring the poor into the mainstream of financial life of India. The ambition is laudable, but whether it is best done through SHG-bank links is yet to be seen.

Long-term thinking

Perhaps the most striking difference between SHGs and unassisted groups is in their attitude to their life-span. Looking into this will help explain why it is that promoters favour a kind of ASCA as their model, and rarely help set up ROSCAs - ROSCAs being inherently time-bound. Because unassisted ASCAs are there just to swap a series of small pay-ins for a few big pay-outs, their members are quite happy to close them down when they no longer perform that role as effectively as available alternatives (which include closing the club down and starting new one). As time goes by, there are many reasons why closing down becomes a sensible course of action, as we saw in the second chapter. But SHGs (or their promoters) tend to have multiple goals, some of which require the SHG to stay around in the long term - permanently, if possible.

It is not just these goals that cause this preference for the permanent. It is also the way that promoter help to SHGs is structured and paid for. An NGO and its donor have to make a considerable investment in setting up an SHG, an investment that is not rewarded, as an ordinary business is, with income. The fruits of SHG investment are measured in ‘impact’ - the degree to which women have become truly empowered, the degree to which their family incomes have risen and the extent to which their voice has become more influential in the home and in the community... and so on. Measuring all this is itself a costly and time-consuming task, adding to the need to keep the SHGs running long enough to ensure that these ends are both met and measured. SHG-promoters are also under some pressure to demonstrate that their preferred form of financial services for the poor is as ‘sustainable’ as other modern alternatives, such as the ‘providers’ that we are going to examine in the second part of this chapter. Indeed, ‘sustainability’ has become such a watchword of modern NGOs and their funders that it may be blinding them to the virtues of the transitory. If there is one thing that ‘promoter NGOs’ fret about more than anything else, it is that their savings groups will ‘collapse’ before certain goals are achieved, or once the NGO leaves them alone. Consultants are paid small fortunes to try to guess whether or not this will happen, and to think up ways of ensuring that it won’t.\(^{28}\)

\(^{28}\) I know. I’ve done it.
SHG Federations
I don’t know of any examples of SHGs surviving in the long term after their promoting NGO has left
them to their own devices. There may be some but I doubt if their numbers are significant. Aware of
this, promoters have begun to learn what Credit Unions have learnt over the years - that in order for
groups to overcome the factors that lead them to be short-lived, they need to be linked into some
kind of higher body. Let us recap the main functions of such a body:
• it provides a **secure home for surplus savings** at a rate of interest normally better than obtainable
  at the bank (often by lending the money to another group in need of extra funds and willing to
  pay a good rate)
• it can provide **additional lendable funds** when needed (often by lending surpluses deposited
  with it by other clubs, but also by arranging loans from banks and other bodies)
• it provides **supervision** so that quarrelling is controlled
• it provides **regulation** to see that the rules are kept
• it provides **advice and training** so that the clubs are professionally run to high standards
• it provides a **legal identity** so that the clubs can enter into legally binding financial contracts with
  others
• it can act as a **spokesperson** and advocate of savings groups
• it can offer **protection of savings** through insurance
In India, bodies that carry out these functions have become known as ‘federations’ of SHGs. A recent
Review (by the NGO FWWB) of six of the leading federations shows how they are getting on29. Their
objectives are broadly similar to the list given above. Most are formally registered with the
government - unlike their constituent groups. They are young (the oldest is only six years old) and as
yet they are small, having membership totals of between 1,000 and 3,000. Despite this they are
quite complex in structure, since most have not two but three layers of organisation - the primary
group, a ‘cluster’ of local groups and then the federation itself. They are becoming professional, with
most having full time paid staff. However, four of the six are still dependent on subsidies, mainly from
donors via the NGOs that help set them up. Increasingly they recognise this as a weakness, and are
trying to improve their financial management know-how, not only to improve their own capacity to
recover their costs but to strengthen themselves in their dealings with formal financial institutions.
None of them are really the creations of their members - nearly all were, like the SHGs themselves,
‘promoted’ by NGOs, and only one of the six has broken free of its NGO chaperone and is operating
independently. Like many of the SHGs themselves, several of these federations have multiple aims,
and engage in development work other than financial services. Whether this is wise is a question
hotly debated by the federations themselves.
The Report provides a sobering picture of progress to date. It shows that promoters are still at work on
the task of creating the structures that will allow their dream – sustainable networks of permanent
user-owned and user-managed ASCAs composed of poor women – to come true.

Village Banks
In India, the Self-Help Group movement arose gradually from the work and experience of many
promoting NGOs. Another attempt at getting user-owned, group-based financial services going - the
Village Banking movement - had very different origins. An original model was designed by a group of
professionals in the field, the best known of whom is John Hatch30. The organisation they formed,
FINCA - the Foundation for International Community Assistance - published the model in 1989 in a
‘Village Banking Manual’. As we shall see, the model incorporates several aspects of unassisted user-
owned clubs like the ROSCAs and ASCAs described in Chapter Two, and is clearly based on a sound
understanding of the dynamics of what I have called ‘basic personal financial intermediation’. The
model proved attractive to many NGOs and their donor supporters, who were seeking a system of
community development through popular participation. Through these NGOs, Village Banking
spread, first through Latin America and then farther afield, especially in Africa. By the end of 1994
there were around 3,500 Village Banks serving more than 90,000 members (nearly all women) in

29 The details of this publication are given in the bibliography.
30 Other design pioneers working with Hatch in Bolivia in the 1980s were Robert Schofield and Achilles Lanao.
more than 30 countries. They had savings balances exceeding three million dollars and loans outstanding of more than twice that figure.

We can place Village Banking somewhere in the middle of the promotion/provision continuum. Indian SHGs always start with savings, and some never access external funds. Even when they do access external funds the money might not come directly from the promoter, since the promoter's role may be to help the group get a bank loan. Village Banks, on the other hand, always start with the provision of an injection of cash from the NGO: this cash 'kicks off' the cycle of saving and borrowing that characterises the system. Nevertheless the promoters intend that their involvement with the Banks as financiers will be temporary, and their overall vision is the promotion of independent, self-financed and self-managed village-level institutions. It is because of this intention that I have chosen to discuss Village Banks in this, the 'promoters' half of the chapter.

The original Village Banking model as set out in the Manual is attractively neat and logical: it has the internal coherence and cyclical 'completeness' that make the ROSCA so appealing. Here's how it works.

A group of (say) thirty village women agree with their promoting NGO to start a Bank. The NGO starts the ball rolling by lending the Bank (say) $1,500, which is immediately shared out among the members so that each gets a loan of $50. The members agree to repay these loans to their Bank on a strict weekly instalment basis over sixteen weeks. With each weekly repayment they also make a fixed interest payment.

At the end of the sixteen weeks the Bank repays the whole amount with interest to the NGO. Village Bankers call this flow of cash from the NGO through the Bank to the members and then back through the Bank to the NGO, the 'external' account, since it is to do with the external funds that the Bank is handling. By repaying on time, the Bank is automatically eligible for a second loan, on similar terms and with a similar 16 week cycle.

However, from week one the Bank is also running an 'internal' account, which handles cash originating from its own members. One element of this is weekly savings, which each member makes in addition to her loan repayments. It is expected that over the sixteen weeks each member will save a sum equal to 20% of her loan: in our case, this would be $10 per member, for a total savings for the Bank of $300 saved during the first loan cycle.

The NGO recognises and rewards this saving. It does this by increasing the size of the second loan by the amount saved. So the second loan will be $1,500 plus an extra $300 (also from NGO resources) for a total of $1,800, and each member will get a second loan of $60. Again she repays and again she saves 20% of the value of her loan, so sixteen weeks later she has saved another $12 and her third loan will therefore be worth $72. The cycles continue, so that after seven cycles each member will have reached a loan size of $150. After seven cycles the NGO ends its involvement as a Bank financier, and the Bank continues on its own, using its accumulated 'internal' account to service its members' loan needs.

This is deemed possible because the 'internal' account has not been simply accumulating savings. It has been revolving these savings in much the same way as ASCAs do - giving loans to members and agreeing repayment terms and interest rates. Moreover, since the Bank holds the repayments that members make on their 'external' account loans, and only hands them over to the NGO at the end of each cycle, the Bank also has that cash to use in its internal lending programme.
Our diagram shows the regular progress of the seven external loans and their repayments, as well as the individually-tailored 'internal' loans that this particular member has taken. (For simplicity the diagram is presented in monthly rather than weekly intervals).

A notable feature of Village Banks that is clearly visible in the diagram is that the value of the loans rise steadily. This means that weekly repayments from members to their Bank (the amounts shown under the horizontal line in the diagram) also rise steadily in value through the external loan cycles. This illustrates an important assumption of the model - that loans will be invested in small businesses that quickly and continuously create the capacity to save larger and larger sums out of business profits. These are assumed to be mainly trading businesses. The model is, therefore, not really a financial services model aiming at helping the poor turn a series of small sums into large sums. Rather it is a small business promotion model, aiming at helping the poor overcome poverty through assisted investment in business ventures. This is an important distinction that we can add to our list of differences between unassisted groups and promoted groups. We shall look at this difference again when we look at the new 'providers', in the second part of this chapter. Some of the providers also believe that the loans they sell should be invested in businesses, as opposed to satisfying any one of the large number of needs for lump sums of cash that we reviewed in the first chapter.

In its work as a promoter, the NGO that sets up a Village Bank is interested in promoting social and economic development goals - above all the participatory ownership and management of institutions by the poor and the development of poor-owned businesses. This combination of 'people power' and of business expansion has been the vision that more than any other has driven the development world - donors, NGOs and some governments - in the 1990s. It is widely believed in such circles that this combination is the most promising, perhaps the only, route to effective poverty alleviation.

However, in its work as a provider, the NGO is interested in getting back the money it gives out as loans to the Banks. For this it depends primarily on 'peer pressure'. The second (and subsequent) loan is not disbursed until the first loan is repaid in full. So the Bank - a sort of collective of thirty women - has to be able not only to handle the collection and storage and use of the repayments it receives from members, but to enforce repayment. It can do this by warning bad payers that if they don't repay on time then the next external loan will be delayed, causing inconvenience to all the other members. If the 'shame' of this isn't enough to persuade the recalcitrant member to pay up, the group can decide to expel her, or, sometimes, collect the money due by confiscating some of her goods.

This represents an articulated, specific threat based on the common-sense bargain that underlies all unassisted clubs such as ROSCAs or ASCAs. In those unassisted user-owned devices, it is obvious to all who take part that the thing just won't work unless everyone chips in as well as takes out. The risk of non-payment is well understood but is rarely articulated. But when, as in a Village Bank, 'external' funds are involved the bargain is less clear because three parties are now involved - the individual
member, the club, and the NGO - and the relationship between them is not so obvious. Unsurprisingly, three-way use of peer pressure has proved to be a problematic issue. Ironically, it is not possible to make a direct comparison between the success rates in the use of peer pressure of unassisted and of promoted groups. This is because the most common way that unassisted clubs tackle the problem is to close the club before the problem becomes severe, or to shun leaders or managers or members of clubs that have 'gone bad'. As we saw in our discussion of SHG attitudes to longevity, this simple but effective use of a 'survival of the fittest' policy is rarely available to SHGs and Village Banks. This is because their promoters, who have made considerable investments (not least of pride) in their promotees, and are reluctant to see them end.

Later developments in Village Banking

Our description of the Village Bank was of the original model, as hatched in the 1980s. Since then, as the model has been tried by new promoters in new situations, many variations have come about, often in response to some of the issues we have been discussing in this chapter. This 'evolution' is healthy, and mimics the evolution that goes on all the time in the informal, unassisted sector, as we saw in the previous two chapters. The variations will not be reviewed here, but two trends can be noted because they help us push forward our story, and lead us into the section on providers. In the original model the control and management of the Bank was unambiguously in the hands of its members, with the NGO acting as a guide, trainer and short-term financier. In many current version of the scheme this clarity has disappeared. Finding that their Banks don't perform on their own as well as was hoped, many NGOs now take a much more active role in controlling the Banks. They have become, effectively, 'managers' - managing the Banks on behalf of their members. Indeed, I toyed with including a category of 'managers' in this chapter, since wherever I go find NGOs managing savings groups of one sort or other. However, most of these NGOs do not actively espouse the 'manager' role: some prefer to believe that their groups will finally be able to manage their own affairs, given a little more encouragement, while others drift into the role of providers. This drift has occurred with many Village Bank promoters. Their role as financier has proved to be long-term, in a few cases even permanent. It may be that the Village Banking movement as a whole is moving away from the 'promoter' role and towards the 'provider' role. There are good reasons for this, which we now turn to.

The Providers

The promoter's dilemma

There is of course a dilemma at the heart of the 'promoter' way of doing things. Getting together in a group to run their own savings and credit system may be a wonderful idea for the poor, but it has its costs. Someone has to do the book-keeping. Someone has to play the policeman, making sure everyone follows the rules. Time has to be given to meetings, to writing up resolution books as well as to book-keeping. Even then, there is some risk that things will go wrong, and risk is another kind of cost. We saw all this in the second chapter. There's an important lesson here. Poor people, in my observation on three continents, don't run savings clubs for the sake of it. They pay the costs of running a club because they value the services they get. But if they could get an equally good service from someone else for less cost, they would prefer it. It is true that ASCAs and ROSCAs show an astonishing propensity to survive even in environments where there are plenty of formal services, but that is because those formal services have yet to rival the flexibility and convenience of the home-made product.

Promoters spend a lot of time setting up groups, training them, supervising them, fretting about them. This is despite - indeed this is often because of - the fact that the groups are supposed to do all their own management themselves. Is the time and effort put in by promoters worth it? The

31 I have read that there are some NGOs (or MFIs) that manage ROSCAs or ASCAs but do not finance them. They take a fee for their management services, like chit-managers in India (Chapter Two), and intend to stay in the business long-term. I don’t know how much success they’ve had, so I haven’t included them in this essay.

32 It is said that in modern Japan until very recently big businesses ran ROSCAs among themselves with contributions measured in millions of dollars, because it was easier and more convenient than dealing with Japan’s inflexible and bureaucratic (and highly taxed) formal banks.
‘promoter’ philosophy puzzles many group members, who rightly ask me ‘if those nice people from the NGO are going to spend so much time on us why don’t they do the management? After all, they are literate, we’re not, they know how to manage finance, we don’t: why on earth do they insist that we do all this work?’

NGOs might have a good answer - ‘we are interested in community self-management, and leadership’ - but group members might legitimately reply ‘maybe, but we’re interested in turning our savings into lump sums’. And when NGOs find themselves unable to shake off a share in managing the groups, and find themselves financing groups for the long term (as some Village Bank promoters are now doing) the question becomes unavoidable: ‘wouldn’t it be more cost effective for us to run financial services for the poor rather than struggle to get them to run them for themselves?’

A better kind of moneylender

We now reach the world’s most famous banker to the poor - the Grameen Bank of Bangladesh. Although Grameen Bank sets up groups it is not a promoter. It doesn’t try to get the group members to run their own services. Its groups are customer groups - a set of customers brought together at the same time in the same place each week to facilitate a loans service. Grameen Bank owns the funds, and enjoys the income earned from the interest paid on loans. Loans go to individuals directly from the bank, not from the group. Group members cross-guarantee each other’s loans, but the group does not own the fund out of which the loans are made.

Grameen Bank is a provider. It provides advances against savings to a mass market. From the user’s point of view what Grameen Bank does is most similar to the urban moneylender we reviewed in Chapter One. Our diagram makes this clear.

Like the moneylender, Grameen offers a lump sum which is recovered in a series of small payments - in Grameen’s case fifty weekly payments over one year. Like the moneylender, Grameen takes interest, but instead of deducting it at the time the loan is given, Grameen takes it in small easy-to-find instalments along with the repayments. As with the moneylender, most clients immediately embark on a fresh cycle as soon as one cycle is compete.

So much for the similarities. Grameen differs from the moneylender in some small respects and some important ones. Unlike the moneylender (at least the sort of urban moneylender we looked at in Chapter One) Grameen does accept some savings deposits - in small regular fixed weekly instalments that cannot be withdrawn until the client has been in the system for ten years. It also deducts 5% of the value of each loan for a ‘group tax’ - money that is put into a fund owned by the clients but held by the bank that can be used to bail out clients who get into trouble with their loans. This money too can eventually be claimed by the clients after ten years.

The big differences lie in Grameen’s use of group-guarantees, the price of the Grameen Bank loan, the bank’s reliability, and its scale. Like the Village Banks, Grameen insists that the clients which it

---

33 Grameen Bank is structured as a bank owned by share-holders. Every customer (group member) buys a share in the Bank and their representatives hold an overwhelming majority of seats on its Board. However in practice at the village level members are unaware of the implications of this and at HQ level control is exercised de facto by the bank’s professional management.
gathers together in the weekly-meeting groups - normally about 40 people - cross guarantee each other's loans. Moneylenders rarely use guarantees of any sort, let alone big group guarantees, preferring to rely for good repayment on their personal knowledge of the client, on the mechanism of small-but-frequent instalments, and the client's dependence on them for future loans. Grameen's rate of interest charges on advances is much less than the average moneylender's. Grameen charges a 'flat rate' of interest - a fixed sum each week. This is 10% of the face value of the loan, but since the loan is paid off in weekly instalments the average value of the loan in the client's pocket is half the face value, so the interest rate on an APR basis (see Chapter One) is twice the nominal rate: an APR of 20% or about 1.66% per month. The main problem poor people face with moneylenders, however, is not the price but the availability - the poor find it hard to persuade someone to give them an advance. This is where Grameen really scores, because once a client is a 'member' of a weekly-meeting group she is guaranteed access to a series of advances, as long as she repays on time and her fellow-members do the same. To secure this rare right, Grameen clients struggle, sometimes at considerable cost, to maintain their repayments and retain their right to borrow. Finally, Grameen Bank differs from the moneylender in being a professional organisation with a massive outreach - around two million clients (most of them village women) in the mid 1990s.

Finally, Grameen, again like the Village Bank promoters but unlike most moneylenders, tends to raise the value of the loan after each cycle. Dr Yunus, Grameen's brilliant founder and General Manager, believes that loans should be invested in starting or expanding businesses, and thus set off an upward spiral of investment and income, allowing the client to service ever-bigger loans. He is more interested in 'micro-enterprise finance' (loans to start and run small businesses) than in 'microfinance' per se (financial services for the poor) as the current jargon has it. Some clients do indeed start or expand businesses, but as we saw in Chapter One the needs for lump sums that face the poor are numerous so that it cannot be that all or even most of the lump sums are put to business uses. Because of this, where Grameen has been active in a village for many years and loan values have risen to $200 dollars or more, many clients drop out altogether and others may experience repayment problems. To spell out the lesson: if you are swapping savings for a lump sum (given as an loan), the biggest lump sum you can handle must approximately equal your savings capacity for the term of the loan - unless the loan really is contributing directly and immediately to a rise in your capacity to save.

**Promotion versus provision**

Let us return to the question we posed at the end of the previous section. Is it worthwhile being a promoter? Well, if we measure the output of promotional work in terms of how much 'leadership' is created and how much participatory self-management is facilitated, then I have no idea - these things must be hard to measure and I don't know of any successful attempt to do so. But if we measure output in terms of the number of poor people receiving useful financial services, the verdict is clear: provision beats promotion hands down. The best place to see this is South Asia.

The Indian semi-formal sector has favoured the promotional stance. Over the years, large numbers of SHGs have been created. There are no wholly reliable counts, but we do know that NABARD, a government-owned institution that lends to such groups (through banks or NGOs) may be helping around 100,000 group members. DFID (British aid) believes there may be around 75,000 NGO-sponsored SHGs altogether, up to a million members, and a similar number set up by agencies of various sorts to take advantage of special loan schemes offered for such groups by central and state governments. Next door in Bangladesh, a country with a similar poverty profile but one tenth the population, semi-formal financial services for the poor are dominated by providers. One alone, Grameen Bank, probably has as many clients as the whole of the Indian SHG movement, and besides Grameen there are other giants - BRAC and ASA with about 1.5 million clients each, for example. Besides such figures, the world-wide outreach of Village Banks, about 90,000 clients at the end of 1994, looks small.

There is no mystery about why the providers have been able to scale-up so much faster. Since they control all the management of the financial service process, they can reap the benefits of scale if they make their management efficient. They don't have to wait around while a group of illiterate village women slowly learn how to distinguish income from liabilities. The signals that providers receive about their efficiency are much louder and clearer than those promoters get, because providers can offer a consistent service and watch what happens to it, while promoters find that each group tends to behave a little differently to the others. Promoters can aim to cover their costs
and generate a surplus, and thus work in a quasi-commercial or even fully-commercial market, something that doesn’t apply to promotional work which is generally subsidised.

ASA, a super-charged Grameen
This market-like environment in which the provision of financial service to the poor operates in Bangladesh has had a visible impact on the development of institutions. In the mid 1970s Grameen was the originator of the standard Bangladesh product - the advance against a year’s worth of weekly savings. Later comers have had the opportunity to learn from Grameen and do better. ASA, for example, the Association for Social Advancement, came to microfinance in 1991, after a motley history as an NGO including, at one early point, a revolutionary stance in which armed ASA groups were to be trained to take political control of the country. When they replicated the Grameen product, they simplified its delivery by cutting out the five-person ‘sub-groups’ into which Grameen divides its 40-person client groups, and the delivery of advances became both quicker and more standardised. Meanwhile they adopted a much simpler organisational structure, cutting out the Area Offices and the Zonal Offices that stand between the Head Office and the branch in Grameen, and which create their own paperwork and require extra staffing. Above all, ASA judiciously combines the maximum level of delegation, (so that lowly branch managers make the decision to disburse a loan without needing a signature from a higher officer), with the minimum level of discretion (the procedures are so cut and dried that it is hard for branch managers to make mistakes and equally hard to tempt them into rent-seeking).

Ever better providers
The providers may beat the promoters when it comes to the numbers of poor people they reach, but they haven’t won all the arguments. Promoters correctly point out that the standard Bangladesh Grameen-style product is rather inflexible: users get just one advance a year and are allowed only one way to repay it. This is not very user-friendly - it doesn’t allow for other ways to make the ‘basic personal financial intermediation’ swap, such as saving up and withdrawing, and it doesn’t help people who need small loans, several times a year, to meet their consumption needs. Nor is it convenient for long-term nor insurance needs, such as providing for marriage or burial expenses. Finally, the insistence on using loans only for business purposes is unrealistic, they claim. These are all good points.
Very recently - from about 1995 on - Bangladesh’s big providers have begun to respond to these criticisms. We shall use the case of ASA to illustrate this. ASA has made a series of four modifications to its products.
First, ASA moved from ‘compulsory’ to ‘voluntary’ savings. As we have seen, in the standard Bangladesh model, clients are required to save rather modest amounts each week but enjoy very little access to the cash. In ASA’s case up to 1997, they couldn’t take their savings out until they left the scheme for good. Such blocked savings are known as ‘compulsory’ savings and were regarded by most ASA clients as simply a further cost of, or tax on, the advances they took. When ASA took the bold step of telling clients that they could have unrestricted access to their savings, clients withdrew massive amounts, as much as anything to see whether this promise was going to be honoured. It was, and this increased client confidence in ASA so that savings began to flow back into ASA in ever larger sums.
Convinced by this that the poor can save in larger amounts than was previously thought (see Chapter One) ASA’s bosses next opened up a savings bank service to everyone in the village, not just those who were already members of an ASA group. These ‘non-group savers’ have no right to take an advance: they are offered only a simple open-access savings account. This too proved popular, with many friends and relatives of group members taking this rare chance of a secure home for their savings.
In May 1998 ASA went a step further. It introduced a ‘contractual’ savings product. We have seen contractual savings at work in the marriage funds described in Chapter Three. In ASA’s case, clients contract to save a fixed sum each month for five years. If they succeed in doing so, they get back the whole of their savings plus profits at the end of the five-year term. Such schemes had long been offered by formal banks and were popular among middle- and upper-income people in
Bangladesh, but ASA has become the first large provider to offer it to the poor. Within four months over 200,000 accounts had been opened.

The combination of a standardised advance with an open access savings account and a contractual savings scheme is a very attractive one to the poor. It answers many of the criticisms made of the ‘provider’ model. In our diagram it looks like this:

This looks good, but can still be criticised. But before we go on to do that we must mention ASA’s fourth innovation. Like most other Bangladesh providers, ASA insisted early on that all loans be used for business purposes. But ASA came to see not only that the poor have many other needs for lump sums, but that an advance that has to be repaid within a year starting the next week is not a financial instrument fine-tuned for business investment, to say the least. The internal rate of return that needs to be made by a business capitalised in such a way is frighteningly high. Moreover, with loans still small relative to the costs of setting up a ‘real’ business, as opposed to carrying on with the supplementary livelihood activities that the poor ordinarily engage in, like raising chickens or goats or cleaning paddy, it was clear that bigger loans would be needed. This is what ASA has done, and it is into this venture that much of the capital raised by the contractual savings scheme is going. However, here ASA is less adventurous than some other providers who are experimenting with a range of new business loans for ‘real’ entrepreneurs. Grameen itself has started a ‘hire-purchase’ system for capital goods for business people, and BURO Tangail is experimenting with several different ways to reach and support small businesses. In other countries, notably in South America, research into the best way of supporting businesses is even further advanced.

**Gono Bima: life insurance for the poor**

ASA’s judicious mixture of short and long-term savings products alongside its loans provides its customers with a range of convenient and useful services. However, it has yet to offer specialised insurance products. Although ASA clients can use the long term savings scheme to build up some protection against financial problems they are likely to face in the future, many may still feel the need for protection against particular contingencies. That is why many of them are also customers of Gono Bima, a life insurance scheme for the poor.

Gono Bima (which simply means People’s Insurance in Bengali) presents us with a number of novelties. Gono Bima is a subsidiary of a large private insurance company, and is therefore a good example of a recent phenomenon - the entry of formal financial institutions into the business of

---

34 But it wasn’t the pioneer. That honour probably belongs to SDS (the Social Development Society), a little-known NGO working in central Bangladesh. I found them offering this product to poor villagers as long ago as 1993.
microfinance. Gono Bima is also set to be one of the first microfinance schemes with insurance, rather than loans, as its core product. Here’s how it works:

A very simplified and highly standardised life insurance scheme is marketed in the slums and villages from modest branch offices similar to those of ASA. To buy the insurance you need undergo no medical test nor fill up complicated forms. The tiny premium is paid weekly or monthly, and the benefits are standardised. You pay in each week for ten years and at the end of that term you get your money back with profits. In the meantime, should the person named in the insurance cover die, you get the full amount just as if you had been saving for ten years. Gono Bima does not bring the insurance premium income up to its Dhaka headquarters. Rather, it circulates it back to its clients in small loans modelled on Grameen’s it this basic product. The clients, therefore, get life insurance plus access to further advances when they need them. Here’s the diagram:

![Chart Sixteen: Gono Bima](image)

You can see the close family resemblance to the Marriage Funds of Kerala shown in the last chapter. Gono Bima represents an early example of a formal institution picking up and mass marketing a scheme that had previously reached the poor of South Asia in a small way through informal managers.

**Back to SafeSave**

What could be better for the poor than ASA’s full set of services plus a life insurance policy from Gono Bima? To answer that we need to think about the very poor and go back to what was said about SafeSave at the end of the first chapter.

ASA’s core product remains the standard advance. Two features of that product make it inhospitable for the very poor. The first is that the term is fixed: you can do it only once a year, and even the question of when you do it may not be under your control. This is because the timing of your first advance may have been determined by the date on which the ASA came and set up a group in your village, something that has nothing to do with your real needs.

But a more serious drawback is that the product requires fixed equal weekly repayments, and as we saw in the first chapter many very poor people lack either the means or the confidence to do this. For that reason many ‘exclude themselves’ from membership, reluctantly letting an otherwise excellent opportunity escape.

Products which aim to reach the very poorest need to find a way around this dilemma. So far in Bangladesh, the big providers have not done this, except in some special pilot schemes, such as BRAC’s ‘vulnerable group’ scheme which uses a mix of food-aid, training and credit to help very poor women get some income from egg production. The discipline imposed by a regular fixed repayment requirement seems to have proved so effective that providers are understandably reluctant to give it up. It has been left to smaller players to experiment to see if an alternative discipline is available. SafeSave’s alternative - the daily opportunity to pay as opposed to the weekly obligation to pay, has already been described at the end of Chapter One. But SafeSave is only two
years old and reaches only two thousand clients: despite a promising start it has yet to demonstrate that its alternative is as robust as the standard Grameen or ASA product\textsuperscript{35}.

\footnote{35 You can follow SafeSave’s fortunes by tapping in, from time, to its web site: \url{http://www.drik.net/safesave}.}
**Conclusion**

Rising concern with continuing world poverty, and a growing realisation that poverty must be addressed by working directly with poor people, has led many development organisations to explore the possibilities of banking services for the poor. But how should they go about this?

This chapter has briefly described two approaches: using a commercial stance but adopting products and delivery systems designed to attract the poor (the 'providers' approach) and helping the poor to set up financial service systems that they themselves own and control (the 'promoters' approach).

We have seen that both approaches, but particularly that of the promoter, mix other development objectives in with the financial services work. This may be all to the good, but it may not be for the best from a strictly financial services viewpoint. Promoters may distort the functioning of the groups they promote by insisting on objectives and procedures that, left to themselves, the poor may have chosen not to adopt. Providers may harbour development objectives that lead them to insist, for example, that each loan they give to the poor must be invested in businesses - an unreasonable and unrealistic condition.

A better understanding of how the poor wish to manage their money, and a shift in emphasis from a concern with general development objectives to a sharper focus on improving the financial services might mean that many more poor people could get improved help to manage their money. The final chapter contains further observations of this sort.

**Chapter Five: Reprise: better financial services for the poor**

**Knowing good from not so good**

Creating better financial services for the poor starts with having a clear idea of just what constitutes good services.

The message of this essay is that financial services for the poor help them swap their savings for lump sums of cash. It follows that good financial services for the poor are those that perform this swap well. This requires above all:

1. **Products that suit the poor's capacity to save and their needs for lump sums:**
   - so that they can save (or repay) in small sums, of varied value, as frequently as possible
   - so that they can access the lump sums (through withdrawals or through loans) when they need them: short term for some consumption and emergency needs, medium term for investment opportunities and some recurrent life-cycle needs, longer term for other life-cycle needs like marriage, health-care, education and old age,

and

2. **Product delivery systems that are convenient for the poor:**
   - that are local, frequent and quick
   - that are not burdened with paperwork and other transaction costs
   - that are transparent in a way that is easy for illiterate people to grasp

It is not often that the short, medium and long-term needs for lump sums can be delivered within one product, although SafeSave, described in Chapter One, is an attempt to do just that. Usually, a range of products will be required. In slums and villages where informal financial services for the poor are well established, it is not uncommon for the poor to have a stake in several different schemes at once. In southern India, for example, they might be paying two rupees a week into a burial fund to secure their funeral, ten rupees a week into marriage funds for their sons or daughters, and two hundred rupees a month into a ROSCA (a chit fund) to assemble funds for a new roof. At the same time they may belong to a neighbourhood savings group where they can get fifty or hundred rupees quickly if they need it for some small household emergency. For a lump sum at even shorter notice they might use the pawnbroker on the corner. Intrigued by the newcomers in the financial services market, they may even have joined a Self Help Group set up by an NGO.
In areas where financial services for the poor have until recently been absent, rudimentary or unreliable, such as many parts of rural Bangladesh, a provider who offers a single product type, with only one kind of swap, may nevertheless be warmly welcomed. Grameen’s simple one-year’s-saving-advance, copied by hundreds of other NGOs in Bangladesh and elsewhere, was a brilliant innovation that has helped millions. However, as time goes on, Grameen and its replicators have either modified their original product, or added more products to their range, or both, as we saw in the case of ASA (Chapter Four). This careful development of a range of services, each building on the institution’s growing experience, is a sound policy.

The problem of the design of financial products for the poor is touched on at the end of this chapter. First, though, we need to take another quick look at the institutions that deliver the services.

Institutions

Although this essay is not, essentially, about institutions, our recapitulation of what constitutes good financial services for poor people would not be complete without some further reference to the ‘promoters’ and ‘providers’ whose work we described in Chapter Four. In fact, we need to add two further ingredients to our recipe for improved financial services for the poor. We need

3. Institutions adapted to delivering good products:
   - that are committed to serving the poor
   - that are cost-effective

and

4. A healthy environment for financial services for the poor:
   - stable macro-economic and financial management by government
   - the rule of law
   - helpful rather than restrictive legislation governing promoters and providers of financial services for the poor

Nothing more will be said about the environment. Nor will this essay attempt to discuss the vast subject of the design and management of financial service institutions that serve the poor. There are already many good texts and courses, some of which I have listed in the bibliography. What follows is a set of general remarks about the relationship between the types of institutions mentioned in Chapter Four and the kinds of products that best suit them.

Promoters with mixed goals

Where promoters have mixed goals this will affect the kind of financial service work that they can promote. For such institutions the main goals may be to develop social skills among the poor - participatory management, leadership, solidarity, business acumen and so on - and savings-and-credit schemes may be seen primarily as ‘entry point’ activities, devices to lure the poor towards other less immediately attractive activities. Commonly, this work is done in a group context, and offers the opportunity to promote group-based savings schemes. However, such promoters usually want to ‘phase out’ after a given period.

The financial service activities of such groups should be chosen with these conditions in mind. For example, schemes like the Annual Savings Club described in Chapter Three, or a two-year or three-year version of the same device, would be appropriate. From the promoter’s point of view, such clubs offer a vehicle through which social and management skills can be transferred to the poor. With the promoter acting as supervisor the risk of things going wrong should be low. These clubs are time-bound, thereby relieving everyone of anxiety about ‘what will happen when the promoter phases out?’ From the group members’ point of view, they will have the satisfaction of seeing a scheme mature and bear fruit, as they take home their savings-plus-profits at the end of the three years, while in the meantime they have had at their disposal a savings and loan service of a type that most poor people find useful. A few may use this experience to set up, manage or merely join similar schemes in their slum or village after ‘phase-out’.

Not all ‘social development’ NGOs believe that they should ‘phase out’ after a certain period. Some set up permanent branches at slum or village level. These NGOs are well placed to foster user-owned schemes that have the potential to become long-lasting or permanent user-owned and managed institutions along the lines of the Credit Union (see the previous chapter and the next section below). They may also (or alternatively) become ‘managers’ (in the sense used in Chapter Three) and run long-cycle schemes for their members on a non-profit making basis, such as marriage or burial funds, or a multi-cycle ASCs or even ROISCAs. Or, of course, they may develop (as far as financial services work is concerned) into permanent ‘providers’ as the Bangladesh NGO Proshika has done over the
The stresses of mixing social development work with financial service provision are, however, considerable, and NGOs wishing to go down that line would be well advised to study the experience of path-breakers like Proshika.

**Promoters who focus on financial services**

There are, though they are rare, promoters who are single-mindedly interested in promoting financial services for the poor, with no social aims other than the desire to see the poor managing their money better. In theory, they too need to make a crucial decision - are they going to promote time-bound devices like ROSCAs and annual clubs or do they wish to help the poor set up permanent financial services institutions? In practice, I know of few promoters who concentrate on time-bound devices. That leaves those promoters who aim to set up permanent poor-owned poor-managed institutions. The first advice for them is ‘if you haven’t already done so, get in touch with the Credit Union movement’ (see the bibliography for addresses). Credit Unions have over 125 years experience of setting up and maintaining user-owned financial institutions.

These promoters should banish from their minds any idea that they can set up a few groups, train them, and move on to the next place. In both Chapter Two and Chapter Three we have examined the very good reasons why unsupported groups are very unlikely to survive in the long run. It makes much more sense for such groups to adopt a ‘close-down-and-start-again’ strategy.

The reasons for this will not be rehearsed again here. Rather, let us draw out the consequences for promoters. The overwhelmingly important one is that promoters should be aware that they will be promoting not just groups, but, sooner or later, a secondary-level supervisory support body as well. That body can be a pre-existing one, but often no such institution exists or, where it does exist, may not have the capacity to take on the new groups, or may have become corrupted or inefficient or unresponsive. This leaves two options, one bottom-up and one top-down.

The bottom-up approach depends on the promoter’s ability to help group members build their own secondary body composed of people chosen from their own memberships and informed by their own experiences. Some Indian ‘federations’ are following this path, as we have seen in the previous chapter. But this is hard work, and as we saw in that discussion of India’s experience, it is slow work, too. There are no conclusive success stories yet, though they may emerge in the next few years.

The other option is to develop a permanent secondary body that is not owned by the groups but provides services to them and pays its own way out of charges levied for the services. This approach is particularly appropriate for organisations midway along the continuum that stretches from promoters to providers. Where a promoter has been setting up groups and making loans to them, it should be able to bow out safely by leaving behind a reduced version of itself, staffed by professionals. Such bodies take over the promoter’s funds that they may continue to lend out to the groups. By charging for this, and selling the groups other financial services such as insurance and deposit facilities, these bodies can cover their own costs. Only those groups which agree to accept the supervisory and advisory services of the body (and perhaps pay an annual fee) would be eligible to access these services.

**Providers**

Unlike promoters, providers face no general limitations on the kinds of products they can offer. Their task is threefold.

- They must develop the right products (those that are in demand by their prospective clientele).
- They must design a delivery system that ensures that the product reaches the poor.
- They must control their costs so that they can deliver the services at prices that their clients are willing to pay but which allow them to cover all their costs.

The second and third of these tasks - how to make sure you are really reaching the poor and how to reconcile that outreach with full cost-recovery - have sparked the fiercest debates and driven some of the finest innovations in the field of financial services for the poor in the 1990s. The literature and training courses and workshops devoted to these intertwined issues have grown enormously, and even a summary would be far beyond the capacity of this essay. The bibliography provides pointers to some of the excellent texts that I happened to have read, and to some courses.

But the first of these three tasks - the development of the right financial products - has been the orphan of the research effort and is only now coming into its own. For every ten articles on whether the Grameen Bank is pushing people above the poverty line, or whether Grameen Bank members use contraceptives more often than non-members, or send their children to school more, or get beaten up less often by their husbands, you'll find only one article asking basic questions about the design of
Grameen’s products. Questions like ‘should there be other loan terms besides the one-year weekly-repayment term?’
The essay ends, therefore, where it started, among poor people in their villages and slums, looking at how we find out about their financial service requirements.

**Product design**

**Finding out**

Good product design begins with knowing something about the prospective customers and their financial service preferences. The best way of assembling this knowledge is to find out what services are already available, and to ask people why they are using them, and what they like and dislike about them. It might seem that this can be followed up by asking people what other financial services they would like, but I have found that this is not a helpful question, mainly because the illiterate poor are often unaware of what those ‘other services’ might be.

A better way, in my experience, is to ask people how they manage circumstances that are amenable to financial service intervention. For example, in a village where there are many short-term ROSCAs but nothing else, you might ask people how they manage reserves for their old age, or where they go to get quick cash in small amounts for household emergencies. In the Philippines I was pottering about in villages that had a good Credit Union which gave loans for ‘productive’ uses but little else. When I asked the villagers what other financial services they would like they were unable to articulate anything. So, knowing that Filipinos are getting increasingly interested in education, I asked them how they financed school and college costs and quickly discovered that this was a matter of considerable anxiety for them. When I told them that I knew of a bank that ran a contractual savings scheme aimed at helping people save up in easy monthly deposits for school fees, I was bombarded with requests to ‘bring that bank to our village’, and several women tried to get me to accept their savings there and then.

**Savings and loans, not savings or loans**

In planning the product you wish to deliver, try to avoid sterile arguments about whether the poor need ‘savings’ or ‘loans’. As I hope this essay has made clear, this is a false opposition. It is much more helpful to think creatively about ways of collecting small sums (be they savings or repayments or insurance premiums) and then of ways of turning them into large sums (be they loans, or withdrawals from savings, or insurance pay-outs). The poor don’t have a ‘natural’ preference for savings over loans, or vice versa - they have a need to turn small pay-ins into large take-outs. They will use whichever version of the two basic swaps (savings followed by withdrawals, and advances followed by repayments) is on offer, and if both are on offer they’ll take whichever is most convenient for them at that moment for that particular need. The terms of the offer made them will be an important factor in their decision. SafeSave has shown this. Where it has offered low rates of interest on savings but generous loan sizes with low interest, most clients have chosen to take loans. In other experiments where the rate paid on savings has been raised and the permitted loan sizes lowered and their price hiked, far fewer clients take loans and more choose instead to save and withdraw. Again, the fact that almost every Grameen client is a borrower whereas most clients of Bank Rakyat Indonesia (a famous MFI in that country) are savers does not show that Bangladeshis have a greater propensity to borrow than do Indonesians. It merely reflects differences in the products available to them.

**Is that clear?**

Whatever swap is being offered, its terms must be clear. It must be absolutely unambiguously clear to both parties - to the customer and to the staff involved in providing the service - what the deal is. The examples given in this essay demonstrate this. Mary’s merry-go-round (Chapter One) is exemplary: 15 daily pay-ins of 100 shillings = one 1,500 shilling pay-out every fifteen days. Grameen’s main product (Chapter Three) is crystal clear: an initial pay-out of 1,000 taka must be matched by 50 weekly payments of 22 taka, starting the next week. Both are first class products.

This apparently obvious point is surprisingly often overlooked. In more than one country I’ve been told by NGO staff that ‘this group here are a ‘good’ group, but in that village over there they aren’t saving regularly’, or ‘they aren’t repaying nicely’. The NGO may advance all sorts of plausible reasons for this (‘there was a flood last year’) and some implausible ones (‘they belong to another tribe and they have a bad reputation’) without stumbling on the real one - that in the other village badly-trained workers had not explained the swap clearly to the clients. Poor villagers might put some savings into a scheme that they don’t understand, just to please a patron, but they won’t put in much until they are
completely sure of when and how and in what quantities they can get their savings back, whether as withdrawals or as loans.

It is a good exercise to write out the rules of the scheme on one side of a sheet of paper. I am sometimes astonished by what happens when I ask NGOs to do this. Often, within minutes, the officers are quarrelling. ‘Why have you written that clients can withdraw 25% of their savings at any time? - they’re only allowed to do that after two years of membership’. ‘Oh really? I thought we’d agreed…..’ Having got it written out simply and clearly, (in the clients’ language, of course) and use this document as the basis for a flyer to be given to all clients, and as the basis for all staff training.

**Delivery**

When a decision is being made on the swap or swaps the institution wants to provide, the delivery mechanism has to be taken into account at the same time. It is not practical to separate these tasks. SafeSave’s product, for example, depends on its ability to get collectors to each client each day: without that a key characteristic of the product, its use of frequency to maximise the rate of savings, would not work.

As we have seen, from the client’s point of view good delivery means just one thing: a simple quick convenient means to make pay-ins and to take pay-outs. How this will be done will depend on many factors, including, for example, the relative costs of labour, transport and machinery, and the density of population. In a low-wage economy like crowded Bangladesh SafeSave is able to employ staff who go daily, on foot, to the home or business of each client. In Panama, by contrast, there’s a scheme that installs ATMs (automatic teller machines) in slums, machines which both accept and pay out bank notes.

In both cases the agent doing the delivery (the staff member or the ATM) has been ‘programmed’ according to a precise set of rules, so that they have little or no discretion. As we saw when we looked at ASA, a secret of successful delivery is that it can be wholly and safely delegated to the field worker. This speeds up delivery (increasing customer satisfaction) and keeps it cheap. This is another reason why rules need to be unambiguously clear.

Note that devices such as group formation, and ‘social collateral’ (group guarantees, sometimes called ‘peer pressure’) are best understood as optional components of a delivery strategy. A recent tendency to regard them as almost ‘magical’ ingredients without which banking with the poor is simply not possible should be resisted. Group formation is but one of many ways of organising access by clients to the services on offer. Others include regular daily visits to individuals (as in SafeSave), fixed collection points that are attended at fixed times, and various kinds of agency arrangements. ‘Social collateral’ is in fact just one version of a large family of cross-guarantee systems. These in turn are simply members of an even bigger family of methods of reducing the lender’s risk, such as holding savings as security, taking personal references, and good old-fashioned collateral, as practised by pawnbrokers.

**The importance of being wise after the event**

As soon as the product starts to be delivered the surprises begin. Assumptions are revealed. It had been supposed that clients would behave in such and such a way - but they turn out to be doing something quite different. Maybe it’s because there are factors affecting their household economies, or merely the household cash-flow, that you were not before aware of. It could be another financial service that you hadn’t discovered. In Pakistan I visited what looked like a competent replication of Grameen but found that the villagers weren’t very interested in taking it up: the few that had been persuaded to join were not repaying their loans on time. A morning in the village revealed a very strong local ROSCA tradition that the organisers had not been aware of, which offered deals that many villagers viewed as superior to what the new provider had hoped to sell.

Or maybe there’s a seasonal effect that is stronger than you had anticipated. Maybe you have offended against some cultural norm. Find out. Then, armed with such discoveries, you can go back to the drawing board and develop alternative products that serve another set of needs, or serve the same needs in an attractively novel way. There’s no shortage of ways to make swaps, as this essay has shown.

Here again we discover yet another reason for absolute clarity in the rules governing the product. Where rules are clear and have been fully and repeatedly explained to the clients, patterns of client behaviour will be sharp and evident. Economists would say ‘market signals are loud and clear’. Where rules are not clear, client behaviour may differ according to each individual client’s own distinct understand of the rules (or each worker’s individual interpretation of the rules). The lesson is, *clarity brings learning, and learning ushers in beneficial change*. Too many schemes suffer from the
opposite: ambiguous products provoking confusing responses from clients and leading to protracted periods of poor performance.

**Conclusion**

I wrote in the Introduction that a better understanding of financial services for the poor should lead to their better provision. The bulk of this essay – Chapters One and Two – has been an attempt to articulate what I have understood about financial services for the poor over the last twenty years. The later part of the essay – Chapters Three and Four – discusses and critiques the exciting new wave of financial service provision that has been developing world-wide during those twenty years. The opening pages of this last chapter are as close as I'm likely to get to an overall conclusion to my arguments. I summarise them in a final box:

---

Financial services for the poor help them swap their savings for lump sums of cash. **Good financial services for the poor are those that perform this swap well.**

This requires above all:

**Products that suit the poor's capacity to save and their needs for lump sums:**
- so that they can save (or repay) in small sums, of varied value, as frequently as possible
- so that they can access the lump sums (through withdrawals or through loans) when they need them: short term for some consumption and emergency needs, medium term for investment opportunities and some recurent life-cycle needs, longer term for other life-cycle and insurance needs like marriage, health-care, education and old age

**Product delivery systems that are convenient for the poor:**
- that are local, frequent and quick
- that are not burdened with paperwork and other transaction costs
- that are transparent in a way that is easy for illiterate people to grasp

**Institutions adapted to delivering good products:**
- that are committed to serving the poor
- that are cost-effective

**A healthy environment for financial services for the poor:**
- stable macro-economic and financial management by government
- the rule of law
- enabling rather than restrictive legislation governing promoters and providers of financial services for the poor
---
Further reading

General
A handy (if sometimes irritating) way to keep up to date with arguments about financial services for the poor is to subscribe to DevFinance, an email chat service run from Ohio State University in the USA. Just send an email to listproc@lists.acs.ohio-state.edu and in the body of the message type SUBSCRIBE DEVFINANCE [your name]. The enterprising Hari Srinivas runs (from Tokyo) another electronic service, this time web-based, called The Virtual Library on Microcredit; to be found at www.soc.titech.ac.jp/icm/. It has sections in languages other than English. Malcolm Harper keeps a collection of informally published papers on microfinance at Alternative Finance, www.alternativefinance.org.
You can also subscribe to some journals. Free ones include those put out by CGAP which produces a newsletter, focus notes, and occasional papers. CGAP is the Consultative Group to Aid the Poorest, a sort of club of donors interested in financial services for the poor, housed at the World Bank in Washington (cgap@worldbank.org). Journals that regularly feature articles on financial services for the poor include Savings and Development; World Development; and Small Enterprise Development. Look for them at your library.

Chapter One
The definition of poverty has been hotly debated. Robert Chambers favours a view of poverty that takes into account many aspects other than financial and economic ones. See his Poverty and Livelihoods: Whose Reality Counts?, 1995, a paper from IDS (the Institute of Development Studies) at Sussex University, UK. For practical purposes of distinguishing the poor from the non-poor Martin Greeley, also of IDS, favours the use of a ‘poverty line’ based on food consumption. He argues this in relation to financial services for the poor in an essay called ‘Poverty and Well-being: Policies for Poverty Reduction and the Role of Credit’ in Who Needs Credit?, edited by Wood and Sharif, UPL Dhaka and Zed Books London, 1997.
The definition of financial services for the poor which I give in chapter one first appeared in a piece I wrote for ACTIONAID and Oxfam and published by ACTIONAID as a working paper in 1996, called A Critical Typology of Financial Services for the Poor. This is a collection of (literally) 57 varieties of financial services for the poor, each briefly described and commented on.
A good discussion of the various types of financial services for the poor can also be found in a collection of essays edited by Dale Adams and D Fitchett called Informal Finance in Low-Income Countries, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado 1992. It includes a piece by a pioneer of research into how poor people handle their money whom I greatly admire - Fritz Bouman.
Another good essay on the poor and their money is by Manfred Zeller, The Demand for Financial Services by Rural Households - Theory and Empirical Findings. This was a paper presented in December 1993 at the Nordic Workshop on Rural Financial Services in Africa, in Harare. I first elaborated the idea of basic personal financial intermediation in an essay I wrote with Sukhwinder Singh Arora of DFID (official British aid) in Delhi, called City Savers, in 1997. The essay deals with many of the same themes as this present work, but in the context of India, and specifically DFID’s poverty-reduction work there.
For an early essay stressing the importance of savings in financial services for the poor read R Vogel, Savings Mobilization: the forgotten half of rural finance in another work edited by Dale Adams, this time with J D von Pischke, called ‘Undermining rural development with cheap credit’, Westview Press, Boulder, Colorado 1984. Adams was an important early critic of subsidised credit schemes.
For more details on West African deposit collectors see the Douglas Graham’s essay about Niger in the Adams book quoted above. For Ghana see Ernest Aryeetey and Fritz Gockel, “Mobilizing...

For more on interest rates and how to set and calculate them see CGAP’s Occasional Paper number 1 (see above under ‘general’ for details about CGAP). This particular paper was written by Rich Rosenberg.

Robert Christen wrote a piece called What Microenterprise Credit Programs Can Learn from the Moneylenders which records other aspects of moneylenders. It was published by Accion International in 1989 as their document 4.

Books and articles on merry-go-rounds, and other forms of ROSCA, are given later, under the section for Chapter Two. One general recent book is Money-Go-Rounds, edited by Shirley Ardener and Sandra Burman, BERG, Oxford and Washington DC, 1995. It focuses on ROSCAs and women. There is more on Dhaka’s ‘Funds’ in my article in the Wood and Sharif (eds) book mentioned above.

The need for women to save up for their widowhood is one of many topics well treated in Helen Todd’s book on Grameen Bank, Women at the Center, UPL Dhaka, 1996.

Chapter Two

The original essay on ROSCAs by Shirley Adenar, called The Comparative Study of Rotating Credit Associations was published in the Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute, London, 1964, volume XCIV, but is reprinted in Money-Go-Rounds, referred to above. F.J.A. (Fritz) Bouman’s essay, The ROSCA, Financial Technology of an Informal Savings and Credit Institution in Developing Countries is another classic. It came out in Savings and Development, volume 3 for 1979. A more recent article of his is Rotating And Accumulating Savings and Credit Associations: A Development Perspective in World Development, Volume 23, No 3 1995. Robert Christie has studied ROSCAs and is happy to correspond with others about them - his email address is R.Christie@isu.usyd.edu.au


For general background on savings clubs of all sorts in Bangladesh (which serves as a good introduction to the subject) there is Rural Savings and Credit in Bangladesh by Clarence Maloney and the late A B Sharfuddin Ahmed, UPL Dhaka, 1988.

Chapter Three

Marriage funds and burial funds were described by Sukhwinder Arora and I for DFID (British Aid) in an unpublished document written for them, Almirahs Full of Passbooks (DFID Urban Poverty Group, Delhi, February 1996).

The Annual Savings Club is described in more detail in City Savers, referred to earlier. There is a huge literature on Credit Unions. Those interested in their history (they began in nineteenth-century Europe) might like a new article by Hollis and Sweetman called ‘Microcredit: What can we learn from the past?’, in World Development 26(10), 1998. Carlos Cueva of the World Bank wrote an article for an edition of Savings and Development (No 1 1988, XII) called Savings and Loan Cooperatives in Rural Areas of Developing Countries: Recent Performance and Potential. A case-study of what is presented as a successful revitalisation of a rural Credit Union system in Sri Lanka which works with the poor - Sanasa - can be found in the Hulme and Mosley book already mentioned, Finance Against Poverty volume 2. Fritz Bouman’s delightful book Small Short and Unsecured: Informal Rural Finance in India (Oxford University Press 1989) is helpful because it describes Credit Unions in the context of informal and semi-formal financial services generally in India, so the reader
gets a feel of how the CU differs from other systems. WOCCU, the World Council of Credit Unions, is in Madison, Wisconsin USA (PO Box 2982, Madison, Wisconsin 53701-2982): they have publications. I found a description of the work of the alajo (a Nigerian deposit collector) in an article in Gemini News headlined Alajos growing increasingly popular as community banks in Nigeria by Celestine Okonkwo.

The Vietnamese moneylending couple are written up in a series of unpublished reports that I wrote for ActionAid Vietnam over the period from 1992 to 1997. They can be contacted on aav@netnam.org.vn.

Pawnbroking is well treated in an essay by Fritz Bouman and R Bastiaanssen which appears as chapter 13 in the book edited by Dale Adams and D Fitchett called Informal Finance in Low-Income Countries, already mentioned. Fritz Bouman also discusses them in another book mentioned above, Small Short and Unsecured. The rates I quote for the various precious metals derive, however, from my own research in southern India.

The dadon (tied credit) system for financing fresh-water prawn cultivation in Bangladesh is described in a report I wrote for the NGO CARE called CARE and gher: Financing the Small Fry, unpublished 1994, CARE Bangladesh

Chapter Four

A 1992 special edition of the journal Search (Bangalore, volume VII, Issue 4, December 1992) looked at a large number of NGO schemes that promote self-help groups in India. FWB (Friends of Woman’s World Banking) is one such NGO and has issued a very short very clear handbook on their work methods, called Organising Savings and Credit Groups for Poor Women (FWB, Ahmedabad, 1993). Pradan, another NGO, has published From Self-Help Groups to Community Banking (1997, Pradan, Madurai). A third such NGO is Myrada: see The Myrada Experience by their leader, Fernandez, Aloysius Prakash (1992). Outsiders writing on NGO work with SHGs include official Swiss Aid (SDC) in Delhi: they have written a new review in 1998.

FWB (see above) have recently published a new paper on federations of SHGs. It is India’s Emerging Federations of Woman’s Savings and Credit Groups and it came out in March 1998 (FWB, Ahmedabad). The paper is discussed in the text of chapter three.


As the world’s most famous microfinance institution, the Grameen Bank of Bangladesh has been the subject of many books, studies and articles. I recommend the new reader to begin with just two. Grameen Bank was founded by Muhammad Yunus, and his early essay, called The Grameen Bank Project in Bangladesh, Grameen Bank, Dhaka, 1982, remains one of the clearest statements about the aims and methods of the Bank. The best written and most illuminating recent book on Grameen is by Helen Todd and is called Women at the Center, UPL, Dhaka, 1996.

There is nothing substantial yet published on Gono Bima. There should be.

For ASA I would immodestly recommend my own book, ASA, the biography of an NGO, ASA, Dhaka, 1995, because it tells the story of ASA, describes the products and delivery methods, and includes material on financial services for the poor in general, and on the Bangladesh context. ASA itself regularly produces material. Practitioners might like ASA, experience in action, by Kurt Healey, ASA, Dhaka, 1998, which describes the systems in full details, including translations into English of all management forms and documents, and is up-to-date. Other titles can be had by emailing ASA on ASA@bd.drik.net.

A good review of the work of Bank Rakyat Indonesia (BRI) is Progress with Profits, , The Development of Rural Banking in Indonesia, by Richard H Patten and Jay K Rosengard, published 1991 by the Institute for Contemporary Studies (ICS).

For Proshika’s work in financial services, there is a series of unpublished reports for Proshika by their financial services consultant, Lorna Grace.
Chapter Five

There are now many good books and articles on the design, development and management of financial service providers to the poor (MFIs, or microfinance institutions). A good up-to-date textbook is Robert Peck Christen's Banking Services for the Poor: Managing for Financial Success, February 1997. Christen runs a 'Microfinance Training Course' each summer at the Economics Institute in Boulder, Colorado, which is regarded as the premier course in MFI management. USAID, a major donor in the financial services field, has been running, since 1996, an ongoing project called 'Microfinance Standards'. CGAP, already mentioned above, devotes many of its publications to improved microfinance management. For organisations that are specifically interested in using financial services to promote small businesses there is An Institutional Guide for Enterprise Development Organizations, edited by Elaine Edgcomb and James Cawley and published by SEEP, from New York, in 1993. Hartmund Schneider has edited a book for the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) called Microfinance for the Poor? (with the OECD, 1997) which brings together essays on designing and developing MFIs and on interesting self-managed schemes from around the world, by several good authors.

The Economics Institute in Boulder Colorado puts out a Microbanking Bulletin which reviews the performance of selected MFIs.